Synthesis of Di-, Tri-, and Polyphosphane and Phosphene Transition-Metal Complexes

ANNE-MARIE CAMINADE; JEAN-PIERRE MAJORAL, and RENE MATHIEU

Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination du CNRS, 205 route de Narbonne, 31077 Toulouse Cedex, France

Received April 30, 1990 (Revised Manuscript Received January 24, 1991)

contents

I. Introduction

This review concerns complexes with one or more phosphorus-phosphorus bonds, whatever the coordinence of phosphorus atoms and the type of bonds between phosphorus and metal or phosphorus and phosphorus (σ or π bonds, η^1 or η^2 complexation). It covers extensively the literature on diphosphane $(P-P)$ and diphosphene $(P= P)$ complexes up to the middle of **1989.** Only compounds obtained by complexation of white phosphorus $(P_4)^1$ or phosphorus pentasulfide (P_4S_{10}) are excluded from the scope of this review.

Diphosphene complexes have been reviewed in 1984^{2,3} and 1986⁴ by Cowley and 1985 by Scherer,⁵ but there have been several developments since that time. Furthermore no review has ever been published about diphosphane complexes.

From an historical point of view, the starting point of the present review dates back to 1958, when **Burg** and Mahler⁶ synthesized the first diphosphane complex, **1,** 70 years after the synthesis of the first free diphosphane, **2,** by Dorken.'

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{(CF}_3)_2\text{P}\longrightarrow \text{P(CF}_3)_2 & \text{Ph}_2\text{P}\longrightarrow \text{PPh}_2 \\
\downarrow & & \\
\text{(CO)}_3\text{Ni} & \text{Ni(CO)}_3 & & \\
1 & & \\
\end{array}
$$

Since then, more than 250 articles have been published in this field, mainly in the last 10 years. This is partly due to the dramatic spread of the chemistry of low-coordinated phosphorus compounds, which **started** in 1981 with the synthesis of the first diphosphene, 3, by Yoshifuji,⁸ 7 years after that of the first diphosphene complex, **4,** by Green and Morris?

The numerical importance of each type of complex is very different; approximately 475 diphosphane complexes and 125 diphosphene complexes have been synthesized. This important difference expresses both the anteriority of researches and the easier synthesis of diphosphane complexes.

The first part of this review consists of a presentation of the different types of compounds and their **structural** and spectroscopic characteristics. The second part is a survey of methads used for the preparation of **all** thoee complexes. The hundreds of compounds thus syntheaized are listed at the end of the review together with their methods of synthesis and the yield of the reactions.

The following abbreviations will be **used all** along this review: Ac (acetyl), An (anisyl), Ar (2,4,6-tri-tert-butylphenyl), Bipy (2,2'-bipyridine), iBu (isobutyl); nBu (butyl), tBu (tert-butyl), **COD** (cyclooctadiene), **Cp** (cyclopentadienyl), Cp* **(1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylcyclo**pentadienyl), DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene), Et (ethyl), cHex (cyclohexyl), Me (methyl), (-)-Ment ((-)-menthyl), Mea (mesityl), Nor (norbornadiene), Ph (phenyl), Phen (1,lO-phenanthroline), Pip (piperidine), Pyr (pyridine), iPr (isopropyl), TMEDA (N_NN', N'tetramethylenediamine), Tmp (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidyl), Tms (trimethylsilyl), To1 (toluidyl), Trisyl (tris(trimethylsily1)methyl).

Anne-Marie Caminade is Chargée de Recherche at the Centre National de la Recherche Sclentlflque since **1985** In Dr. **J.** P. **Ma**joral's group. She was born in Carmaux. France. in **1958.** She received her "38me cycle" doctoral degree in **1984** from the Université Paul Sabatier (Toulouse) with Dr. Max Koenig, on oxidation reactions of unsaturated compounds, and her Doctorat d'-Universlt6 ("Ph.D.") in **1988** with Dr. **J.** P. Majorai, on the stabillzation by complexation of low-coordinated phosphorus compounds. Then, she joined Prof. Michael Veith's group in Saarbrücken (West Germany). as a postdoctoral fellow of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, working on metallastibanes and -bismuthanes. She is currently working with Dr. Majoral on main group elements' chemistry, with emphasis on the chemistry of compounds in lowcoordination states and on macrocyclic species.

Jean Pierre Majoral received his Doctorat d'État in 1972 from the Université Paul Sabatier of Toulouse. In 1973, he carried out postdoctoral studies with Prof. A. R. Katritzky at the University of East Angiia. England. He became Directeur de Recherche at the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique in **1978** In Toulouse where he is currently at the head of a research group. His research interest includes synthetic and mechanistic aspects of low-coordinated heavier main group elements' chemistry and photochemical and thermal behavior of organometallic azides. A further field of interest consists of the preparations of organometallic precursors of ceramics. Emphasis is also made on the synthesis and the complexation properties of phosphorus macrocyclic multidentate compounds.

II. Structure of Dlphosphane and Dlphosphene Complexes

Three types of phosphorus-metal bonds can be distinguished and will be noted as follows: (i) P-M for σ bonds, (ii) P \rightarrow M for σ -donor bonds (η ¹ complexation of a phosphorus lone pair), and (iii)

for π complexation (η^2 complexation of a double bond). These three types of bonds are found in diphosphene

René Mathieu received his Doctorat d'Etat from the Université Paul Sabatler. Toulouse. In **1973** in Prof. **R.** Poilblanc group. In **1982** he became Dlrecteur de Recherche in the C.N.R.S. at **the Lab** ratoire de Chimie de Coordination, Toulouse. His current research interests include the study of carbon-carbon bond formation on polynuclear metal complexes. the synthesis and study of the reactivity of heteroblmetallic compounds, and the synthesis and the use of new ligands in transition-metal chemistry.

SCHEME 1^a

"For the sake of clarity, all organic substituents me omitted.

complexes, whereas only the first two occur in diphosphane complexes.

A. Dlphosphane Complexes

Diphosphanes can act as one **to** six electron-donor ligands. Of the following coordination types **(A-M)** which have so far been described (Scheme 1), only type **H** has never been structurally characterized by X-ray crystallography (see Tables 6 and 7).

The number of compounds of each type is very different. Only one example is **known** for types E, J, and L, two for types C and G, less than 10 for types D, H, and **M,** between 15 and 35 for types **A,** F, and **K,** around 180 for type **B,** and around **250** for type I. Thus, types B and I are the preferred coordination modes of diphosphane ligands.

1. ^q' *Dlphosphane complexes*

As noted previously, a type **A** compound, **1,** was the first reported diphosphane complex. 6 The first one

characterized by X-ray crystallography studies in **1967, 5, owns also** to this type.1°

$$
Ph_2P\longrightarrow PPh_2\n \n +\n +\n (CO)_3Ni\n \n Ni(CO)_3\n \n 5
$$

The η^1 complexation of diphosphanes is often considered as analogous to the η^1 complexation of phosphanes.¹¹ However, it is more likely to consider that the neighboring phosphorus atom plays a part in the complexation.^{12–14} For instance, ⁵¹V NMR and IR $(\nu C = 0)$ data for 6 are close to those observed for strong $\frac{180}{120}$

 π -accepting phosphanes.¹⁵ Therefore, it appears that in addition to the σ -donor bond from phosphorus to metal, there is an important π back-bonding donation from the metal into an accepting molecular orbital of the diphosphane.

A similar phenomenon is likely to be responsible for the important shortening of the Mo-P bonds in $7(d_{P-M})$ $= 2.497$ Å), whereas the sum of covalent radii of molybdenum and phosphorus is **2.71 A.16** On the other hand, the complexation of the lone pair does not noticeably modify the P-P bond lengths: the values obtained by X-ray diffraction are usually in the range **2.18-2.26** A, i.e. in the same range than for free di- ~hosphanes.~~ Table **1 shows** that the P-P bond length is poorly sensitive to the coordinence of the uncomplexed phosphorus atom (compare **8,9,10,** and **111,** to the presence of a charge **(121,** or to the nature of the metal.

Up to now, **the** ahorteat P-P single bond length is **2.15** A, obtained for polymer 13,²³ whereas a long bond length of **2.36** A was measured for the meso complex 14, which is sterically crowded.²⁴

These few examples **are** quite representative of the large variety of metale used for complexation: almost **all** transition metala fromgroupe **3** to **12** have been ueed; however metals of **groups 6,8,9,** and **10** prevail.

The phosphaalkene 8 exemplifies another important feature for the **synthesis** of diphoephane complexes, i.e.

TABLE 1. P-P Bond Lengths

			10		12
$d_{\text{p-p}}$, A	2.249(3)	2.221(13)	2.238(1)	2.245(1)	2.227(1)
	2.253(3)	2.237(12)			
		2.247(11)			
ref	18	19	20	21	22

the basicity of the phosphorus lone pair. The poor basicity of the dicoordinated phosphorus atom in **8** explains the preferential complexation of the double bond. It is possible to show up the leading part played by the basicity in the complexation, even for diphosphanes which contain two trivalent phosphorus atoms: for nonsymmetric diphosphanes such **m 15,** the first complexation *occurs* on the most basic phosphorus atom to give **16,%** but an additional complexation may occur to give 17.26
Me₂P – P(CF₃₎₂

$M = Cr(CO)_{5}$, Mo(CO)₅

A comparison between the ³¹P NMR chemical shift of a free diphosphane (when it exists) and the corresponding complexes generally shows a lower field shift for the signal corresponding to the complexed phosphorus atom. This shift is roughly about **10-50** ppm, but is sometimes over 100 ppm.²⁷ If an uncomplexed phosphorus atom remains, its *lP NMR chemical **shift** value is little modified. When measurable, the ¹J_{PP} coupling constant value is usually in the range $150-300$ Hz, **as** for uncomplexed diphosphanes. However, some highly exceeding values are to be noticed: $^{1}J_{\text{PP}} = 49 \text{ Hz}$ for 18^{28} and $^{1}J_{\text{PP}} = 357$ Hz for $19.^{29}$

Thus, the valence of the neighboring phosphorus atom seems to be an important factor for the value of the coupling constant, **as** it is **known** for uncomplexed diphosphanes.

2. *Transttion Metel Substitutd Diphosphanes*

Complexes in which an organic group is replaced by a transition-metal group are represented by a large variety of compounds. Types **A,** C, and D have only P-M σ bonds, but most of the compounds have both σ and σ -donor bonds (types E-G, J-M). However, when two metals are linked to one phosphorus atom, the discrimination between σ -covalent bonds and η^1 complexation sometimes becomes formal, **as** illustrated by $C_6F_5^{\{30\}}$ and **21** (M = MoCp₂),³¹ were reported in 1971, but the first X-ray structures of analogous complexes were determined only in 1984 for 20 $(R = Me, Ph)^{32}$ and in 1985 for 21 $(M = Ni(tBuP = P - tBu))$.³³

of homogeneous series preclude a comprehensive analysis of δ ³¹P for transition metal substituted diphosphanes. However, selected data for compounds **2234** allow emphasis of important trends and correlations (Scheme **2).**

First of all, a comparison of 31P NMR data between δP_a (phosphorus linked to the metal) and δP_b (phosphorus linked to organic substituents) **shows** in **all** *cases* a dramatic deshielding of δ P_a: $\Delta \delta$ = 242-377 ppm, depending on metal and R substituents. Furthermore, it is well-documented for complexed phosphanes that the shift decreases **as** the atomic number increases from top to bottom in a triad. $35,36$ A similar trend is observed for **22:** changing the early transition metal from Ti to **Zr** leads in each case to **an** upfield shift in δ ³¹P. On the other hand, the coupling constant J_{ab} is little sensitive to the metal and to the organic substituent: **294.4** < **Jab** < **349.5** Hz. Few X-ray data are available for this type of complexes, but the P-P distances are in the same range as those for η^1 diphosphane complexes: 2.18 and 2.21 Å for $R = Ph$ and $M = Hf³⁷$

The general applicability of these tendencies to most of the transition metal substituted diphosphanes and polyphosphanes is not demonstrated but seems to be quite reasonable. However, the problem becomes intricate when a R-P-P-R unit is linked to two or more metals. Are these molecules diphosphane complexes or diphosphene complexes?

It is difficult to give **an** answer **because** the P-P bond lengths are highly variable: for **25** and **26,** it is typical of that for diphosphanes, whereas for **24** it is close to that of *q2* diphosphene complexes and for **23** close to that of η^1 diphosphene complexes, as we will see in the next paragraph.

SCHEME 3^o

'For the sake of clarity, all organic Substituents are omitted.

B. Dlphosphene Complexes

A classification analogous to the one depicted in Scheme 1 for diphosphane complexes is **also** appropriate for diphosphene complexes, since they can act **as** one to six electron-donor ligands (Scheme **3). Of** the many possible coordination types, **structures** N-V have **so** far been characterized.

The structures of all the above-mentioned types of diphosphene complexes have been **ascertained** by X-ray diffraction studies. About **10-20** compounds are know for each type of complexes; the only exceptions are type P (about **40)** and type T (only one).

1. v2 Diphosphene Complexes

 $n²$ complexes are both the oldest and the most abundant type of diphosphene complexes; they were synthesized far before free diphosphenes.⁸ The main reason is that the complexation of the double bond brings a strong stabilization to the structure, even when the phosphorus substituents are as small as hydrogen.⁸ **As** seen previously, the first one, **4,** was described in **19749** and **its** structure was **ascertained** further by X-ray crystallography in **1977.43** However, this was not the first X-ray study of a diphosphene complex, since the structure of 27 had been determined 1 year before.⁴⁴ Later, in **1983,** the first examples of types S and U complexes, **28*** and **29,'8** respectively, were **also** fully characterized. In all cases, the organic substituents of the diphosphenes are in the trans position. The only exception is the unstable compound 30, recently reported.⁴⁷

The nature of the bond which links the $P=$ P double bond to the metal remains a problem; is it the complexation of a π bond **(31)** analogous to the complexation of ethylene, or is it a three-membered metallacycle formed by two metal-phosphorus σ bonds (32) analogous for instance to a cyclotriphosphane?

Answering this question is not simple, since no ab initio calculation **has** ever been worked out for **this** type of complexation of diphosphenes. Nevertheless, calculations about the complexation of ethylene in Ni(P- H_3 ₂(C_2H_4) show an important electron back-bonding from nickel into the unoccupied π^* molecular orbital of the olefin.⁴⁸ Furthermore calculations about $HP=$ $PH^{\omega-62}$ show that the π^* (P-P) molecular orbital is the LUMO. This orbital is easily accessible, therefore it seems reasonable to suggest that the model of complexation of ethylene might be used to describe the *q2* complexation of diphosphenes:² there would be first formation of a π -donor bond from the double bond toward the unoccupied orbitals of the metal, then electrons back-bonding from the metal toward the unoccupied π^* orbital of the double bond. However, since ethylene and diphosphenes are substantially different, such an interpretation is highly speculative.

Table **3** depicts selected data for some diphosphene complexes. The main feature is the dramatic variation of the ³¹P NMR chemical shift values between complexes **30** and **33,** which differ only by the complexation of the double bond $(\Delta \delta \approx 280 \text{ ppm})$. Whether this phenomenon could be partly related to an electron back-bonding from metal to phosphorus remains questionable. On the other hand, the lone pair complexation seems to play a minor part in the variation of the SIP NMR chemical shift, **as** shown by **34** and **35.** These values are in the middle of the range observed for η^2 diphosphene complexes, between $+250$ and -200 ppm, depending on the organic substituents and the metal. The second point noticeable in Table 3 is the length of the complexed $P=$ P double bond, between **2.12** and **2.18** these valuea **are** somewhat shorter than those obtained for diphosphane complexes.

2. *q1 mphene complexes*

The first diphosphene complexes of type O, 36^{55,56} and 37,⁴⁵ and type R, 38,⁴⁶, 39,⁵⁷ and 40,⁵⁸ were syn-

TABLE 3. IIP NMR and X-ray Data for 30.33-31

		³¹ P NMR	X-ray		
compd	δ, ppm	$J_{\rm PP}$, Hz	$d_{\text{P=P}}$, Λ	ref	
30	377 214	526	2.038(2)	47	
33	85.2 -55.1	427		47	
34 35	34.3 17		2.121(4) 2.186(6)	53, 54 53	

NMR and X-ray Data for 3 and 36-43 TABLE 4.

thesized independently in three laboratories in **1983.** *All* of these are trans compounds. The first X-ray studies of cis complexes were performed in 1985 for 41^{69} and **42,eo**

Selected data of these complexes are given in Table **4,** along with data of the free diphosphenes **3** and **43.** As a result of the η^1 complexation, the $\delta^{31}P$ values for **36** and **39** are shifted upfield, compared to that of the corresponding free diphosphenea **3** and **43,** respectively. This is opposite to what is usually observed for $n¹$ diphosphane complexes. However, **as** seen previously for diphosphane complexes, a decrease in the ^{31}P NMR chemical shift of homogeneous series of η^1 diphosphene complexes is observed **as** the atomic number of the metal increases in a triad, for instance from chromium to tungsten.^{60b} Most of the compounds of types O and R have ³¹P NMR shifts in the range 600-200 ppm. The only noticeable exception comes from the value of **927** ppm obtained for 38,⁴⁶ which is rather surprising.

X-ray data for **all** these complexes show that the $P=P$ bond length remains essentially unchanged compared to that of free diphosphenes, around $\overline{2.02-2.05}$
Å. It means that the η^1 complexation has no influence on the PP bond order. On the other hand, the RPP angles are increased by complexation: 102.8 (1)^o for 3, 109.3 (1)^o and 108.4 (1)^o for 36. From steric reasons, this increase of the PPR angles intuitively seems to be wrong. **An** explanation of this phenomenon might be a modification in the hybridization of the phosphorus orbitals. For an idealized free diphosphene, it seems that the most suitable orbital description consists in forming the π (P-P) and σ (P-R) bonds by overlaps using pure $P(3p)$ atomic orbitals, whereas the σ (P-P) bond could be formed via pure P(3p) overlap or by use

of sp hybrid orbitals.⁶¹ Such an arrangement would lead to a PPR bond angle of **90° (44,** Scheme **4),** closer to the experimental value (102.8°) than the theoretical value obtained for a sp² hybridization (120°) (45, Scheme 4).⁶⁷

It is easily understandable from this scheme that the complexation of the lone pair will not *occur* by keeping an sp hybridization, which would lead to two interactions of 90°, between R and P=P on the one hand M and R on the other hand. The hybridization will then approximate sp2, creating three interactions of **120°,** by far more suitable. This may explain the increase of the PPR bond angles experimentaly observed by complexation. This tendency to go from an sp hybridization to an sp2, then to decrease the s character of the lone pair orbital, is in agreement with NMR results: indeed, a decrease of the s character is usually associated with an increase of the screening constant σ and therefore a shielding of the **31P** NMR signal. Another phenomenon could be added: according to Hückel type calculations worked out for **46,** it seems that the simple idea of η^1 complexation of diphosphenes by means of a phosphorus metal σ -donor bond does not fit in with reality.

These calculations⁶⁸ display that the coordination of diphosphenes not only occurs via a σ -donor bond but also via an important back-bonding (0.3 electron) of π electrons from metal to phosphorus. This is also in agreement with experimental results obtained for diphosphane complexes, **as** we have already seen.16

3. Transition Metal Substituted Diphosphenes

These are the most recent types of diphosphene complexes. The first one, $47, ^{69}$ was described in 1985 and characterized by X-ray crystallography'0 in **1987,** as well as ite correponding η^1 complex 48.⁷¹

Diphosphenes which are substituted by transition metals instead of organic groups should be substantially different in character, but the metallic part often behaves like an organic substituent: most of the spectroscopic data of metalladiphosphenes **are** close to those of "organic" diphosphenes. However, a comparison of 31P NMR data of **47** and 3 shows an important deshielding of the signal corresponding to the phosphorus atom linked to the metal (see Table *5).* It is likely to be an indication of the high attractive power of the

metal for the phosphorus electrons. On the other hand, the η^1 complexation of P_a in 48 comes to an upfield shift in 31P NMR, **as** shown previously for organic diphosphenes. Furthermore, the presence of the σ M-P bonds does not lead to noticeable modifications of the phosphorus-phosphorus bond: the $P=$ P double bond length in **47** and **48** is essentially unchanged when compared to that of 3.

4. Mlscelk~mous Dlphwphene Compkxes

In addition to compounds of type M described at the end of section 11-A, other complexes could be related to diphosphenes. This concern mainly compounds of type V, in which the $P=$ P double bond is delocalized. The first compound reported and characterized by X-ray crystallography is the cationic three-membered ring **49,'*** but most of the compounds of type V are five-membered heterocycles such **as 50.'3** The PP bond length reflects the delocalization: **2.08 (2)** and **2.17 (2) A** for **49** and **2.112 (5) A** for **50.**

Finally, the original cluster $51⁷⁴$ is also difficult to file. In spite of the presence of five nickel atom, X-ray data show that the two $P=$ P double bonds are essentially unsupported $(d_{P=P} = 2.085 (4) \text{ Å}^{74})$. The cis arrangement of the organic substituents of diphosphenes is **also** noticeable.

III. Synthetic Methods

Three general synthetic methoda are applied to prepare diphosphane or diphosphene complexes, **as** well **as** metalladiphosphanes or diphosphenes: (i) complexation of a ligand which already possesses the phosphorus-phosphorus bond, (ii) simultaneous formation of the phosphorus-phosphorus bond and complexation, and (iii) modification of a complex which already possesses the phosphorus-phosphorus bond. However, these three methods have not the same importance for diphosphanes and diphosphenes. Thus, it is more convenient to consider the syntheses of diphosphane complexes and diphosphene complexes one after the other in each case.

 $(CF_1)_2$

 $P(CF_1)$

 (CO) ^{N_i N_i (CO) ₃} $\mathbf{1}$

SCHEME 6

 $(CF_3)_2P - P(CF_3)_2$ $+ 2$ Ni(CO)₄ $-2CO$

SCHEME 7

SCHEME 8

SCHEME 9

SCHEME 10

A. Direct Complexation of a P Ligand

1. Complexation of Diphosphanes

a. Substitution of a Ligand. It is both the oldest and the most useful method for most of the transition

SCHEME 12

SCHEME 13

SCHEME 14

Me₂P-PMe₂

SCHEME 15

SCHEME 16

metals. It allows the synthesis of numerous η^1 complexes of type B and I, in accordance with the wellknown reaction of phosphanes with carbonyl metals (Scheme 5).

Burg and Mahler synthesized in this way the first diphosphane complex 1⁶ (Scheme 6).

For most of these reactions, the leaving ligand L is either carbon monoxide or basic solvents or unsaturated hydrocarbons.

 α . Carbon Monoxide. Only one CO group is removed and only one phosphorus atom is complexed when a

SCHEME 17

reaction between a diphosphane and a carbonyl metal is carried out under mild conditions.⁷⁵⁻⁸⁴ However, in many cases, this reaction proceeds by thermal activation; it is difficult to stop at the first step, 52 and the isolated compounds are often the $\eta^1 \eta^1$ complexes $53^{10,85-88}$ (Scheme 7).

This is **also** the case with the phosphaalkene deriva- tive **55,** which reacts with nickel carbonyl to produce heterocycle **8l*** (Scheme 8).

If drastic conditions are used a phosphorus-phosphorus bond cleavage occurs to give the phosphido bridged complexes 54.⁸⁹⁻⁹³ Such a P-P bond cleavage considerably lowers the yield of diphosphane complex.^{91,94} That is the reason why complexation reactions induced by thermal activation are often forsaken on behalf of milder reactions, like irradiation.^{15,92,95,96} Depending on the **length** of irradiation, different types of complexes are obtained^{15,87,96-98} (Scheme 9).

However, in this case **aho,** it is difficult to stop the reaction at the first step, and a mixture of products is often obtained, especially, if the metallic center pos**SCHEME 20**

SCHEME 21

sesses more than one leaving group. For instance, sixmembered heterocycles **56** are synthesized either in **this** way or by thermal reactions.⁹⁹⁻¹⁰² More reactive complexes, which can be used without activation, are needed for a best selectivity. Some reactions are carried out with $Fe_2(CO)_9$ ^{21,91,103-106} in these cases, the leaving group is Fe(C0)6 (Scheme **lo)."** However, we will *see* later that more complicated reactions often occur with iron (Schemes **27** and **28).**

In most cases, reactivity and selectivity are increased by use of ligands more labile than carbon monoxide, such **as** basic solvents and unsaturated hydrocarbons.

B. Basic Soluents. Tetrahydrofuran is often used **as** leaving ligand in chromium, molybdenum, or tungsten pentacarbonyl, or more rarely in iron tetracarbonyl. Many diphosphane complexes of type B (52) and I (53) the anionic complexes 58 and $59^{125-127}$ (Scheme 11). pentacarbonyl, or more rarely in iron tetracarbonyl.
Many diphosphane complexes of type B (52) and I (53)
are thus obtained in good yield,^{26,28,106,107-128} as well as

Among the numerous compounds prepared in this way, let **us also** mention the five-membered heterocycles **60** which are likewise obtained by exchange with carbon monoxide^{117,123,124,128,129} (Scheme 12).

Acetonitrile complexes are not **as** widely used **as** THF complexes. Some reactions are analogous to the one described in Scheme 11.^{130,131} However, unexpected reactions are sometimes observed with chromium¹³² or tungsten¹³³ complexes, such as an increase of the number of CO ligands linked **to** the metallic center (Scheme **13).**

y. *Unsaturated Hydrocarbons.* **TWO-,** four-, five-, and six-electron-donor hydrocarbons are sometimes

SCHEME 22

SCHEME 25

used **as** exchangeable ligands in the synthesis of diphosphane complexes. This is the case with ethylis rarely used (Scheme **14).** ene:^{154,135} however, this two-electron-donor hydrocarbon

On the other hand, many reactions are carried out with four-electron-donor hydrocarbons such **as** cyclooctadiene²² and above all norbornadiene.^{26,106,136-141} This allows the synthesis of bis(diphosphane) complexes $65^{26,140}$ (Scheme 15). Let us note that here, di-Let us note that here, diphosphanes act **as** two-electron-donor ligands and not **as** four. Thus, two diphoephanes are needed to replace

norbornadiene.
The reaction is slightly different when a cyclopentadiene ligand is used: as expected, the anionic bis(diphoephane) complex **67 ia** obtained when **2** equiv of diphosphane is used, whereas the mono(diphoephane) complex **66** is isolated when **1** equiv is used14a (Scheme **16).**

Six-electron-donor hydrocarbons such as benzene²⁷ or cycloheptatriene^{27,143,144} allow for instance the synthesis of polycyclic compounds such **as 68** (Scheme 17).^{143,144} In these cases, three phosphorus lone pairs

Chemical Reviews, 1991, Vol. 91, No. 4 583

SCHEME 26

are needed to fill the hole created by elimination of cycloheptatriene.

6. Nitrogen *Derivatives.* Few reactions **are** done with nitrogen derivatives. They concern dinitrogen itself,⁸³ piperidine,²⁰ or ammonia¹⁴⁶ (Scheme 18). In all cases, only one phosphorus atom is complexed, even when two leaving ligands are linked to the metallic center **as** in

b. Complexation without Elimination of Ligand. Dimeric complexes of type **71** react sometimes without elimination of ligands. The dimeric structure **ia** either retained to give $72,^{134}$ or cleaved to give 73 or $74.^{146,14}$ However, this cleavage may **also** result from elimination of carbon monoxidel'l **as** for **75** (Scheme **19).**

The complexation may **also** occur by cleavage of a metal-metal bond of strained three-membered metallacycles **761a** (Scheme **20).**

Group **4** to **12** metallic halides in which the metallic center **shows** a deficit of electrons react with phoephorus compounds **bearing** no **good** leaving groups, **allowing** the metallic center to **fill** ita electronic shell. In moat casea the metal is acceptor of two lone pairs which come from either two diphosphanes or the same diphoephane, with formation of three-membered rings. In the former *case,* the first complexes were claimed to be monomeric, 149,150 whereas X-ray diffraction structural determinations show that $77^{151,152}$ and $13^{23,153}$ are in fact polymeric. Most of the three-membered metallacycles such **as 78,lWls7 79,'"** and **801"** are **also** supposed to be monomeric, except 81¹⁵⁸ (Scheme 21). However, the existence of all those three-membered heterocycles remains questionable since no X-ray structure determination **has** ever been done. Polymeric structures should be suspected in many cases.

2. *Complexatbn of Dlphosphenes*

Section **III-A-1** and Tables **6** and **7** point out that the substitution of a ligand is the most widely used method to synthesize diphosphane complexes from free diphosphanes. The same reaction is applicable to diphosphenes, but is limited by the difficulty to obtain stable free diphosphenes. Furthermore, in all cases only one metal carbonyl group is bonded, 3,55,56,60,61,159 even when excess of metal is used.^{55,61} This is probably due to the steric hindrance of organic substituents needed

TABLE 6. η^1 Di-, Tri- and Tetraphosphane Complexes

TABLE 6 (Continued)

s Fe(CO) ₄ Me Me Me 117 S $RhCp*(C_2H_4)$ Me Me Me 117 Se Me Me Me $RhCp*(C2Ha)$ 117 H OEt Me $W(CO)_{5}$ 58 0 NEt_2 $\mathbf 0$ NEt ₂ OEt $Mo(CO)_{6}$ \mathbf{o} $P(O)$ (OEt) ₂ $Mo(CO)_{5}$ $P(O)(OEt)$ ₂ OEt $\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\\0\end{smallmatrix}$ OEt P(O)(OEt) ₂ $W(CH_3CN)(CO)$ $5(CH_3CN)$ P(O)(OEt) OEt $P(O)(OEt)$ ₂ $Mo(CO)_{5}$ 7 Ph 7 OEt $Mo(CO)_{5}$ Ph Ph \mathbf{o} 18 Ph OEt Mo(Pip)(CO) Ph \mathbf{o} OEt Ph Ph Mo(PPh ₃)(CO) ₄ \overline{O} $Cr(CO)_{5}$ $5(CH_3CN)$ Ph Ph Ph \mathbf{o} $Mo(CO)_{5}$ Ph Ph Ph \mathbf{o} Ph Ph $W(CO)_{5}$ Ph $5(CH_3CN)$ $\mathbf 0$ Ph Ph Ph Cr(Pip)(CO) 18 $\mathbf 0$ 18 Ph Ph Ph $Mo(Pip)(CO)_{4}$ \mathbf{o} P _h Ph Ph 18 W(Pip)(CO)					M		
	R ¹	R ²	\mathbf{R}^3	X	M	method	yield (ref)
							(224)
							30 (135)
							50 (135)
							(209)
							34 (106)
							23 (106)
							48 (130)
							93 (106)
							87 (106*)
							(106)
							(106)
							$50 - 60$ (20)
							53 (20*)
							$50 - 60$ (20)
							60-70 (20)
							$60 - 70(20)$
							60-70 (20)
iPr ₂ N iPr_2N Fe(CO) ₄	Ph	H	OEt	$\mathbf 0$	$W(CO)_{5}$	58	(209)

**R² R³
R¹-P-P-R**
A

C. Linear Compounds of Type $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ B \end{bmatrix}$

 CF_3 CF_3 CF_3 $Ir(PPh_3)_2Cl$ as 18 (N_2) (83)

TABLE 6 (Continued) $\begin{bmatrix} R & | \\ R & | \end{bmatrix}$

TABLE 6 (Continued)

TABLE 7. $\eta^1\eta^1$ Di- and **Triphosphane Complexes**

TABLE 7 (Continued)

TABLE 7 (Continued)

TABLE 7 (Continued)

J. Miscellaneous Cyclic Compounds (M inside a cycle)

 S_1 Me₂ ر/ as 17

 $(27*)$

SCHEME 29

SCHEME 30

SCHEME 31

SCHEME 32

P-P $M_0C_{P_2}$

to stabilize free diphosphenes (Scheme **22).** Moreover, the diphosphene Tris-P=P-Tris, which **bears** the bulky substituent **tris(trimethylailyl)methyl, has** never been complexed.

Unsymmetrical trans diphoephenes illustrate **also this** phenomenon: the reaction always takes place on the less crowded phosphorus atom.^{55,56,60,61,159} The steric hindranca of metallic groups is **also an** influential factor for this reaction, since diphosphene 3 **does** react with $Cr(CO)₆$, which is bulkier than $Ni(CO)₄$ or $Fe(CO)₆$, but in this case, the reaction gives the π (arene) tri-

SCHEME 34

Y = SiMe₃, Li R = SiMe₃, Me, tBu, Ph M = Ni, Pd, Pt
 L_2 = R'₂PCH₂CH₂PR'₂, (PR'₃)₂ R' = Me, Et, nBu, Ph, cHex

SCHEME 35

SCHEME 36

SCHEME 37

SCHEME 38

 $M(CO)_n = Cr(CO)_5$, $W(CO)_5$; R^1 , R^2 , $R^3 = Me$; $X = Cl$; $Y = Sime_3$, $SnMe_3$ $M(CO)_n = Fe(CO)_4$; R^1 , R^2 , $R^3 = Ph$; $X = Ph_3P = N = PPh_3$; $Y = Cl$ $M(CO)_n = M_0(CO)_5$, Fe $(CO)_4$; R¹ = Ph, R² = H, R³ = Ph, tBu ; X = Li, H(NEt)₃; Y = Cl

SCHEME 39

carbonylchromium complexes *83* and **84** instead of the *11'* complex **82** (Scheme **23).180*161**

The influence of steric factors is **also** illustrated when silver or gold salta are involved. **A** cationic diphosphene complex is obtained with *Ag,* while only the **mono** cation **87 is** formed with [&PAu] [PFe], presumably *88*

SCHEME 41

SCHEME 42

SCHEME 43

SCHEME 44

SCHEME 45

 $[Ir_4(CO)_1$ _(PPhH)) DBUH⁺ Ag[ClO4] $[\mathrm{AuPEt_3}]\mathrm{[ClO_4]}$ \rightarrow

SCHEME 47

 $MeN⁺ OH$ **EtOH** H. **CO-E** $(CO)_{5}W$ (CO) , W (CO) , W 122 121 (pMe)(CH4)SO4H Ve M (CO) _sw w(co). **123**

SCHEME 48

SCHEME 49

SCHEME 61

$$
R = Me, Ph
$$
 $Y = H, SmMe3$ $[M] = Fe(CO)4, W(CO)5$

SCHEME 62

SCHEME 63

$$
(Me3Sn)3P + 3 Ph2PC1
$$

\n
$$
Ph2P - P - PPh2
$$

\n
$$
M(CO)5
$$

\n
$$
M = Cr, Mo, W
$$

\n
$$
M = 3 Me3SnCl
$$

\n
$$
Ph2P - P - PPh2
$$

\n
$$
Ph2P - P- PPh2
$$

\n
$$
M(CO)5
$$

a consequence of the steric demand of this group^{162,163} (Scheme 24).

However, the cis-diphoephene *88* **behaves** differently. Surprisingly, the complexation with $Ni(CO)_4$ seems to occur on the most crowded phosphorus atom, whereas the reaction with $(nBu₃P)₂Ni(COD)$ gives η^2 complex **30.47** This compound is the only *q2* complex obtained by direct complexation of a free diphosphene (Scheme **26). Ita** unstability might be related to the electron deficiency of nickel in this case.

SCHEME 54

B. Complexation with Modification of a P—P or P=P Ligand

Modifications of a **free** ligand may **occur** by cleavage either of the phosphorus-phosphorus bond or of a phosphorus-substituent bond, during the course of the complexation. Most of the metalladiphosphanes are obtained in this way.

1. Synthesis of Diphosphane Complexes

a. Phosphorus-Phosphorus Bond Cleavage. A P-P bond cleavage frequently happens when drastic conditions **are** used to carry out a complexation reaction (see Scheme **7).** The consequence with cyclopolyphosphines is a ring extension, formally induced by insertion reaction of phosphinidene^{19,75,133,164-168} (Scheme **26).** Compounds of types **90** and **91** were claimed to be 1,2 complexes in first reports.^{75,76} Later this assertion has been refuted^{19,133,141} and the proposed structures of **1,3** and **1,4** complexes, depicted in Scheme **26,** seems to be more reasonable.

With iron, a ring extension occurs by insertion of the metal into a phosphorus-phosphorus bond.^{30,32,94,169} The reaction is clean with iron pentacarbonyl and gives phosphido-bridged metallacycles **20** (Scheme **27).**

However, some reactions carried out with $Fe₂(CO)₉$ or Fes(CO)12 produce a **mixture** of products sprung from insertion and complexation reactions¹⁷⁰⁻¹⁷² (Scheme 28).

b. Phoephorus-Substituent Bond Cleavage. Free di- or polyphosphanes which bear **good** leaving groups such **as** alkali or halides react with **metal** halides or hydrides. Formation of σ metallacycles 95^{31,34,173,174} occurs with linear polyphosphane salts, but the length of the phosphorus chain is not always kept *(n* may be different from *n')* (Scheme **29).**

The reaction of a metal salt with monochlorodiphosphane **96, giving** rise to the original three-membered metallacycle 97, is to be related to this series¹⁷⁵ (Scheme **30).** Complex *97* is the only stable compound of type E. Earlier, formation of analogous complexes waa postulated in mass spectrometry. **f76**

For **our** part, we have studied the reactivity of two anionic hydrides 99 and **102** with a gem dihalogenated diphosphane **98.** The compound isolated with tungsten

is diphosphane complex **101,** which arises from a rearrangement of the intermediate compound $100.¹⁷⁷$ We have also isolated an original anionic metalladiphosphane **103,** obtained by addition of **3** equiv of iron hydride **102** on diphosphane **98177** (Scheme **31).**

2. **Synthesis** *of Diphosphene Complexes*

Most of n^2 diphosphene complexes are obtained starting with compounds in which a phosphorusphosphorus bond already exists. This is the case for the firat reports in this field. One concerns the reaction of white phosphorus with a neutral molybdenum hydride^{9,43} (Scheme 32).

The second reports a P-P bond double cleavage of a cyclotetraphoephane induced by a platinum or palladium complex, which allows the synthesis of diphosphane complex **104u*69** (Scheme **33).**

However, from a practical point of view, the reaction of linear diphoephane lithium **salts** or silylated diphosphanes with metallic halides is the most widely used to produce η^2 diphosphene complexes of type P in good vield (70–90%)^{65,54,178–182} (Scheme 34). Some of these compounds are palladium^{53,54} or platinum^{53,54,181} complexes, but most of them are nickel complexes. 178-180,182

In one case, the reaction is more surprising: isolated compound **106** presumably arises from a coupling reaction between two diphosphene units in the coordination sphere of nickel,³³ with formation of a σ metallacycle (Scheme **35).**

Some phosphorus **analogues** of ferrocene, **SO** and **108,** or **cyclopentadienylmanganese** tricarbonyl, **109,** are **also** obtained *starting* from the phosphorus lithium salt **107** and metallic halides7s (Scheme **36).**

The last reaction related to a phosphorus-substituent bond cleavage implies the migration of a penta-

SCHEME 60

methylcyclopentadienyl group η ¹ bonded to phosphorus toward a metallic center, where it becomes η^5 bonded and gives metalladiphosphene **110183** (Scheme 37).

C. Formatlon of a Phosphorus-Phosphorus Bond

The synthesis of diphoephane and diphosphene complexes by creating phosphorus-phosphorus bonds offers a wide range of possibilities. Generally, the phosphorus-phosphorus bond is formed by elimination reactions. Some reactions give univocally diphosphane complexes, other give either diphosphane or diphosphene complexes. The main factors directing the reaction are the number of leaving groups on the starting phosphane, the bulk of the organic substituent R, and sometimes the steric demand of the metallic group. Most of these reactions concern eliminations between a cationic group (Li, Na, H, Me₃Si, Me₃Sn, etc.) of one of the starting phosphanes and a chloride anion of another phosphane. If at least one of the starting phosphorus compound possesses only one leaving group, the products are obviously diphosphane complexes, whereas with two leaving groups on each phosphorus compound, the reaction may result in the formation of diphosphene complexes.

1. Raectlons of Compkxed phosphanes

a. Monofunctionalized Phosphanes. Complexed phosphanes may react with **free** phosphanes when each compound possesses a labile group. Elimination of $Me₃SiCl⁹⁸Me₃SnCl¹⁸⁴ LiCl¹⁸⁵ and [Ph₃P=Ne⁻¹⁸⁶$ $PPh_3]Cl^{186}$ occurs spontaneously (Scheme 38). Bis(n^1

SCHEME 61

diphosphane) complexes **1111%** are also obtained in **good** yield (Scheme **39).**

Analogous reactions are observed with delocalized anions. Intermediate **112,** with both bridging and terminal PPh_2 groups, prepared in situ from Li PPh_2 , reacts with electrophiles such as chlorodiphenylphosphane¹⁸⁷ (Scheme 40).

The original low-coordinated diphosphadiene compound 114 is synthesized in an analogous way¹⁸⁸ (Scheme **41).**

Two complexed phosphanes bearing labile aubstituenta (mainly Li) can **also** associate under the influence of a third reactive to give $\eta^1 \eta^1$ diphosphane complexes. For instance, demetallation reactions of lithiated phos hane complexes occur with oxidants such **as** iodine¹⁸⁹ (Scheme 42).

In the case of halogenoalkanes, the reaction of the diphosphido complex 116 is mainly intermolecuthe solvent **(118)** also occur (Scheme **43). An** intramolecular reaction leading to **23** is observed only when the organic substituent of phosphorus is a tert-butyl group.³⁸
Some couplings of two PPh_n groups are observed by lar;^{42,196,191} side reactions with dibromoethane (117) or

oxidation of monoanions with RCHI₂^{192,193} (Scheme 44)

SCHEME 65

SCHEME 66

 $Ar' = Ph$, Mes $M = Cr$, Mo, W

SCHEME 67

 $ML = Fe(CO)$, $Fe(PPh₂)$, $Ru(CO)$, $Os(CO)$, $Mn(NO)$, $Re(NO)$ $R-E = Mes-P. Ar-As$

or AgClO₄⁴¹ (Scheme 45). In the later case, the diphosphane ligand bridges an Ir₄ and an Ir₄Au₂ unit in cluster 25.

The leaving group of the phosphane complex may also be chloride. One reaction with a Grignard's reagent is reported⁹³ (Scheme 46).

Basic hydrolysis is used to cleave a P-CO.Et bond of a complexed phosphole; reaction between intermediates 121 and 122 thus obtained, followed by proton migration, finally gives diphosphane complex 123^{189,194} (Scheme 47).

Activation methods such as photolysis are rarely used: the only known example concerns the cationic secondary phosphane complex 124,¹⁹⁵ which affords the cationic six-membered metallacycle 125 (Scheme 48).

Some reactions are observed even if one of the reagents possesses no leaving group; in these cases, addition reactions may occur. Transient metallaphosphanes are readily converted to cationic metalladiphosphanes by addition of phosphane on the phosphorus lone pair¹⁹⁶ (Scheme 49).

SCHEME 68

SCHEME 70

If the lone pair is complexed to form a phosphorusmetal double bond, the addition takes place on this double bond¹⁹⁷ and gives cationic compounds 127 in which the diphosphane is unusually side-on coordinated to the metal (Scheme 50).

b. Di- or Trifunctionalized Phosphanes. α . Synthesis of Di- or Polyphosphane Complexes. If one of the reagents possesses one leaving group and the other two or more leaving groups, polyphosphorus compounds are generally obtained. The monocomplexed triphosphanes 128 are synthesized starting from free monochlorophosphines^{157,198} (Scheme 51) whereas dicomplexed triphosphanes 129 are synthesized from free dichlorophosphanes¹⁸⁵ (Scheme 52).

An analogous reaction furnishes tetraphosphorus complex 130 from a tristannyl phosphane complex¹⁹⁹ $(Scheme 53).$

However, the reaction pathways are not always so simple. Side reactions are sometimes observed when the expected product is unstable. For instance, attack of the uncomplexed phosphorus atom in intermediate

 $Rh_4Cl_4[(Me_2N)_2P-P(NMe_2)_2]_6$ + RhCl[P(NMe₂)₃][(Me₂N)₂P-P(NMe₂)₂]

SCHEME 73

SCHEME 74

ME 75
 $P = N - IBu + (MeCN)_3(CO)_3Mo \longrightarrow [(n^5Cp^*)(CO)_3Mo - R^2]$

183
 $(n^5Cp^*)M_0(CO)_2 \longrightarrow [(n^1Cp^*)-P=N-$ 182

SCHEME 76

tŔu

184

131 on the phosphorus atom to which it is not directly bonded and subsequent evolution of carbon monoxide led to 132^{200} (Scheme 54).

Dichlorophosphanes react **also** with diphosphane complexes of type $M(R_2PY)$, and give, besides the expected product 133, five-membered ring $134^{16,201-205}$ (Scheme **55).**

An analogous ring extension is observed with phosphido-type complexes $135^{206-208}$ (Scheme 56).

On the other hand, a ring contraction has been noticed in a particular case²⁸ (Scheme 57). The driving force for the tautomerization of intermediate **139** may be the formation of the $P=0$ bond or of the five-membered ring structure.

Another type of phosphorylphosphane complex, **142,** is obtained via elimination of styrene from phosphirane complex 141^{209} (Scheme 58).

Di- or polyphosphane complexes are also obtained when some of the so-called labile substituents do not **react.** For **instance, this** is the *case* for trihalophoephane complexes: their reaction with magnesium^{210,211} or their irreversible cathodic reduction²¹² gives tetrahalide diphosphane complexes **143** (Scheme **59).**

SCHEME 78

SCHEME 79

Hydrolysis of lithium **salts** of complexed phosphanes results in the formation of other diphosphane complexes 144 and 145²⁴ (Scheme 60).

The analogous secondary diphosphane complex **148** is **obtained** by reacting dichlorophosphane complex **146** with its lithium salt 147 in THF,²¹³ whereas the reaction of the same lithium salt with a dichloroamine gives cyclotriphosphane complex 149^{214,215} (Scheme 61).

An unexpected reaction leading to cyclometallatriphosphane **150** is observed with a rhenium carbonyl

complex216 (Scheme *62).* **8.** *Synthesis of Diphosphene Complexes.* Most of the reactions of difunctionalized phosphane complexes give a mixture of diphosphane and diphosphene complexes. This is for instance what happens when dibromophosphane complexes are allowed to react with magnesium. The main products of the reaction are cyclotriphosphane complexes **151,152,** and **153,** which are respectively linked to one, two, and three metallic groups.²¹⁷ However, the $(-)$ -menthyl substituent gives, besides cyclotriphosphane and phosphinidene complexes, $\eta^1 \eta^1$ diphosphene complex 154^{218} (Scheme 63).

Dichlorophosphane complexes behave essentially like dibromophosphane complexes. Their reduction with zinc/magnesium amalgam leads to a mixture of compounds, including diphosphane **156** and diphosphene **157 complexes²⁴ (Scheme 64).**

Elimination reactions with a chromium salt give either phosphido complex 158 or $\eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^1$ diphosphene complex **159,** depending mainly on the metal of the **starting** phosphane complex and **also** on the substituent **Rm** (Scheme **65).**

The reactivity of free dichlorophosphanes toward difunctionalized phosphane complexes and metallophosphanes depends on the steric hindrance of the substituents; with bulky substituents, diphosphene complexes are obtained. However, the base-induced deshydrochlorination depicted in Scheme **66** does not

SCHEME 81

R =Me, *Et,* **nBu,** Ph. **An** $M = Cr.Mo.W$ **X** = C1, H(from THF)

SCHEME 83

 $R = (Tms)_2CH, (Tms)_2N$

lead to the expected compound **160;** the isolated one is **161,** arising from a migration of the metal toward the less hindered phosphorus atom.¹⁵⁹

The nature of the product isolated with metallaphosphanes depends on the substituent of the "organic" phosphane. Metalladiphosphenes **163** are obtained starting from the bulky (2,4,6-tri-tert-butyl**pheny1)dichlorophosphane** and metalladiphosphane **162.@*m31s221** Metallacyclo tri- or tetraphosphanes **164** and **165** are isolated with less bulky dichlorophosphines, even with mesityldichlorophosphine.²²² However, analogous compounds are **also** obtained with the bulky (tri-tert-butylphenyl)dichloroarsine²²¹ (Scheme 67).

2. Reectlons of Free phosphanes with Metallic Groups

a. Synthesis of Diphosphane Complexes. Few diphosphane complexes are synthesized starting from free phosphanes. Most of these reactions are carried out between free monohalophosphanes and metal carbonyl sodium salts. The first step of the reaction generally gives salts of phosphane complexes **166,** which react further with free halophosphanes. The second step of the reaction is analogous to the one described in Scheme 38 and η ¹ diphosphane complexes are isolated^{29,186,223,224} (Scheme 68).

However, some unexpected reactions occur, mainly with aminochlorophosphanes. The surprising cage structure **169** is obtained starting from dichlorodiazadiphosphetidine 168²²⁵ (Scheme 69).

SCHEME 84

SCHEME 85

The influence of the solvent on the reaction is to be noticed: conducting the reaction of dichloro(diisopropylamin0)phosphane with iron tetracarbonyl sodium salt in tetrahydrofuran rather than diethyl ether leads to the phosphido derivatives **171** and **172 as** major products instead of phosphorus-bridging carbonyl compound 170^{226,227,228} (Scheme 70).

A migration of an **amino** substituent may **also** occur. The unexpected products **173** and **174,** obtained with **dichloro(diethy1amino)phosphane** come from the migration of amino groups from one phosphorus to **an**other and from one phosphorus to a carbon atom.^{226,229} Derivative **173** is the only compound of type J fully characterized so far (Scheme 71).

Elimination of amino groups also leads to the synthesis of diphosphane complexes. A mixture of compounds is obtained from hexamethylphosphorus triamide and rhodium complex **175250** (Scheme 72).

Addition of the anionic iron hydride **177** to the acetylenic **(dimethy1amino)chlorophosphane 176** allowed us to isolate the anionic diphosphane complex **178** and the related neutral compound 179²³¹ (Scheme 73).

Unexpectedly, we have obtained the functionalized diphosphirane complex **181** from chlorophosphaalkene 180 and the same hydride²³² (Scheme 74).

Another spirocyclic complex, **184,** is formed via the shift of a Cp* ligand, in an attempt to synthesize metallaiminophosphane 183. Compound 184 is presumably generated by addition of the phosphorus lone pair of intermediate **183** on the phosphorus nitrogen double bond of the starting iminophosphane **184233** (Scheme 75).

Primary and secondary phenyl phosphanes are also suitable materials for the synthesis of diphosphane Complexes. For instance, metallacycle **186,** in which the phosphorus atoms are σ -bonded to zirconium, is obtained from phenylphosphane and zirconocene derivatives with elimination of methane³⁷ (Scheme 76).

Phenyl phosphane gives **also** cationic secondary diphosphane complexes **186** when it reacts with silver or copper salts²³⁴ (Scheme 77).

An analogous coupling is observed with diphenylphosphane; however, the reaction stops at the first step and gives the linear diphosphane complex **187** instead of a cyclic one2% (Scheme 78).

SCHEME 86

The last reaction used to synthesize a diphosphane complex from a free diphosphane concerns the thermal dimerization of phosphole **188.** This dimerization, induced by chromium hexacarbonyl, involves evolution of carbon monoxide and shift of phenyl groups from phosphorus to carbon²³⁶ (Scheme 79).

b. Synthesis of Diphosphene Complexes. α . Sodium Salts *of* Carbonyl Metals. Reactions of dichlorophosphanes are often unforeseeable; the nature of the products depends on the organic substituent of the phosphorus atom, the metal (Fe, Cr, **Mo,** W, etc.), the nature of the complex (mono or dinuclear), and the solvent. Formally, most of the products arise from combinations of metal carbonyl fragments $M(CO)_n$ and phosphinidene fragments P-R in variable proportions. The main factor directing the reaction seems to be the steric hindrance: generally, with bulky substituents such as tri-tert-butylphenyl⁵⁵ or tetramethylpiperidyl, only one product is obtained, η^1 diphosphene complex 190^{237,238} (Scheme 80).

On the other hand, with less bulky substituents, reactions are less specific.⁶³ A mixture of η^1 (190) and $\eta^1\eta^1$ **(191)** diphosphene complexes is obtained when $\rm (Tms)_2 CH^{45,68,59,62,64}$ and $\rm (Tms)_2 N^{57,62,64}$ substituents are involved. $\eta^1\eta^1$ diphosphene complexes are the preferred reaction products 59,62,64,239 with mesityl groups: diphosphenes **cis-191** and **trans-191** are obtained **in 38%** and **21** % yield, respectively, whereas diphosphane **192** is isolated in only **13%** yield. **A** mixture of trans-diphosphene **191** and diphosphane **193** is obtained with $(Tms)CH₂$ groups (12% and 30% yield, respectively)⁶² (Scheme **81).**

When smaller substituents are used, the only diphosphene complex isolated from the reaction mixture is $\eta^{1} \eta^{2} \eta^{1}$ complex 194²⁴⁰ (Scheme 82).

These examples show that the more the steric hindrance of the substituents diminishes, the more the number of metallic centers needed to stabilize the diphosphene increases. However, the part played by the steric hindrance of the metallic center itself can be exemplified: a same diphosphene ligand is stabilized either by one $Cr(CO)_6$ group^{46,62,63} or by two $Fe(CO)_4$ groups^{67,58,64} (Scheme 83).

Electronic effects of the organic substituent on phosphorus probably add to steric effects, but elements of comparison are not sufficient to draw a conclusion. For instance, it is difficult to know why, among the **15** or so substituents used (aryl, alkyl, amino, alkoxy groups), only crowded alkoxy and amino substituents give $\eta^1 \eta^2$ diphosphene complexes $195^{45,64,226}$ (Scheme 84).

However, conjugation seems to play a part, by promoting the formation of a double bond. Indeed, diphosphene complex 159²⁴⁰ is obtained from phenyldichlorophosphane, whereas the same reaction carried out with the corresponding saturated derivative (cyclohexyldichlorophosphane) gives only a mixture of polyphosphane complexes (Scheme *85).*

On the other hand, calculations worked out with **197, as** a model of **198,** show that the lone pair of the nitrogen atoms does not contribute to the conjugation with the P $=$ P double bond.²³⁸

These reactions point out the influence of the organic substituent of the dichlorophosphane on the reaction. However, the nature of the sodium salt itself must **also** be taken into account. This has been shown with chromium carbonyl **salts.69@ In** this *case,* the dinuclear complex seems to give a more selective reaction than the mononuclear one (Scheme 86).

All those examples (Schemes **80-86)** show that the reaction of dichlorophosphanes with sodium salts of carbonyl metals allows the synthesis of numerous diphosphane and diphosphene complexes, often inaccessible by other ways. Cluster **51,** built around two diphosphene units, exemplifies the originality of complexes obtained in this way7' (Scheme **87).**

8. Other Metal Complexes. The preceding reaction is the only one carried out with a sodium salt of nickel; nickel chloride complexes are most often used. They react with silylated phosphanes to produce η^2 diphosphene complexes, generally in low vield¹⁷⁸⁻¹⁸²241-243 (Scheme 88).

For our part, we have studied the reactivity of the anionic iron hydride **177** toward dichlorophosphanes. The first step of the reaction is always the reduction and the complexation of the phosphane. The secondary chlorophosphane complex **205** thua obtained reacts with the starting dichlorophosphane to give η^1 diphosphane complex **206,** which reacts further with hydride **177** to give $\eta^1 \eta^1$ diphosphane complex 207^{244,245} (Scheme 89). These $n^{1}n^{1}$ diphosphane complexes are unstable; their behavior depends on the R substituent. $\eta^1\eta^2$ diphosphene complexes **208** and **209** are obtained when $\rm \bar{R}$ is a phenyl group²⁴⁴⁻²⁴⁶ or a cyclic phosphaalkene, 247 whereas four-membered heterocycle **210** is isolated when R is a methyl group^{244,245} (Scheme 89).

A primary phosphane is **also** used for the synthesis of a diphosphene complex: η^2 complex 211 is obtained by reacting phenylphosphane with a magnesium derivative²⁴⁸ (Scheme 90).

3. Mlscelhneous Syntheses of Diphosphene Complexes

Some reactions cannot be connected to the main types described previously. This is the case for reactions which involve phosphaalkyne **212.** Thus, phosphaferrocene-type complexes **108** and **214** are obtained in low yield among other compounds²⁴⁹ when 212 is reacted with iron derivative **213** (Scheme 91).

However, the analogous reaction carried out with vanadium leads to polycyclic complex **215** instead of phosphavanadocene²⁵⁰ (Scheme 92).

SCHEME 88

SCHEME 89

Thermolysis reactions of phosphorus complexes **also** lead in some cases to diphosphene complexes. Phosphinidene complexes of type 216^{63} give $\eta^1\eta^2\eta^1$ diphosphene complexes 29^{46} and 217^{261} for $R = Ph$ and **R** = tBu, respectively (Scheme **93).**

Some thermolysis are **also** catalyzed by copper(1) chloride. Complexes of diphosphene **(219),** 1,2-diphosphetane **(2201,** and diphosphirane **(221)** formally arise from the generation of terminal phosphinidene complexes **218J2-z7** (Scheme **94).**

D. Reactivity of Diphosphane and Diphosphene **Complexes**

Reactions which lead to the cleavage of the phosphorus-phosphorus bond will not be presented here, except the dismutation of a diphosphane complex in

SCHEME 90

SCHEME 91

SCHEME 92

SCHEME 93

presence of a free diphosphane,^{258,259} which produces the unsymmetrical diphosphane complex **16** (Scheme **95).**

Two series of reactions keeping the phosphorusphosphorus bond occur with diphosphane and diphosphene complexes: some could be named "organometallic" reactions, i.e. mainly complexations and decomplexations. Others could be named "organic" reactions, i.e. mainly additions and substitutions.

1. Compbxathm, Decomplexetkm Reactions

a. Mphosphane Complexes. We have already seen, in part 111-A-1 of this review, some examples of additional complexation of η^1 diphosphane complexes (Schemes **6,7,** and **9).** These reactions are rather frequent.^{92,98,137,138,140,148,224,280,261} They can be schematized as follows, for the two main types of $n¹$ diphosphane complexes **222** and **223** (Scheme **96).**

The M'L'_{n'} reagents are analogous to those described in part **III-A**; the L' ligands are mainly CO, THF, and norbornadiene. Additional complexation on polyphosphane complexes occurs first on the *B* phosphorus

SCHEME 97

SCHEME 98

atom relative to the complexed phosphorus atom,¹⁹⁸ but a third complexation may occur^{185,262} (Scheme 97).

Other transformations are induced by thermal or photochemical activation. **A** mixture of numerous complexes in variable proportions is obtained starting from $\eta^1\eta^1$ diphosphane complexes^{18,98,137,140} (Scheme 98). Most of the compounds seem to result from exchange

SCHEME 99

SCHEME 100

SCHEME 101

SCHEME 102

SCHEME 103

SCHEME 104

 $L = CO$, THF, Fe(CO), $[M'] = Ni(CO)_3$, $Fe(CO)_4$, $Cr(CO)_5$

reactions of ligands, either carbon monoxide or the diphosphene itself.

A migration of the metal from the central phosphorus atom to **an** external one may occur upon heating tri-

SCHEME 108

SCHEME 109

SCHEME 110

SCHEME 111

phosphane complexes, 157,185,262 whereas irradiation in THF medium induces a phosphorus-phosphorus bond cleavage¹⁵⁷ (Scheme 99).

Some decomplexation reactions are also reported. An exchange of ligand occurs between cyclotriphosphane **SCHEME 112**

SCHEME 113

SCHEME 114

SCHEME 116

SCHEME 117

 $Me₂F$ PMe₂ Ë **M** ſМ 252 $[M] = Fe(CO)_4$, RhCp*(C₂H₄) $E = S, Se$

227 and tributylphosphane²¹⁷ (Scheme 100). Lastly, the neutralization of a cationic complex by

SCHEME 120

(cHex)

 $+$ MeOH

TmsOMe

 $P(cHex)_2$

 $+$ MeOH

- TmsOMe

 $P(cHex)$

p.

 256

(cHex)2

 $P(cHex)_2$

SCHEME 125

Ħ

 $(cHex)$

SCHEME 122

Ar

266

lithium iodide also induces a decomplexation¹⁴² (Scheme 101).

b. Diphosphene Complexes. The complexation of diphosphene complexes mainly occurs on the phosphorus lone pair. For instance, the two lone pairs of η^2 diphosphene complex 230 react one after the other

TABLE8 (Continued)

TABLE 9. n^1 Diphosphene Complexes

 $-R^2$

		M		
\mathbf{R}^1	$\overline{\mathbf{R}^2}$	M	method	yield (ref)
Ph	Ar	$Cr(CO)_{5}$	66	(159)
Ph	Ar	$Mo(CO)_{\delta}$	66	(159)
Ph	Ar	$W(CO)_{\kappa}$	66	(159)
$(Tms)_2N$	$(Tms)_2N$	$Cr(CO)_{h}$	83	18 (62) (57)
(Tms) ₂ CH	$(Tms)_{2}CH$	Cr(CO)	108	80 (59)
			83	60 (59) 45 (45*, 62*, 63)
$(Tms)_{2}CH$	$(Tms)_{2}CH$	$Mo(CO)_{6}$	81	76 (59*)
$(Tms)_{2}CH$	(Tms) ₂ CH	W(CO)	108	73 (59)
			81	69 (59)
$(Tms)_2CH$	Ar	Fe(CO)	22	63 (55, 61) (56)
Mes	Ar	Cr(CO)	66	70 (159)
			22	48 (159) (60)
Mes	Ar	$Cr(CO)_{\kappa}$ (cis)	106	$(60*)$
Mes	Aг	$Mo(CO)$ _s	22	60 (159) (60)
			66	(159)
Mes	Aг	$Mo(CO)_{6}$ (cis)	106	(60)
Mes	Аг	W(CO).	22	68 (159) (60)
			66	(159)
Mes	Ar	$W(CO)_{\delta}$ (cis)	106	(60)
Tmp	Tmp	$Cr(CO)_{5}$	80	25 (238)
Tmp	Tmp	$Mo(CO)_{6}$	80	22 (238) (237)
Tmp	Tmp	$W(CO)_{5}$	80	13 (238*) (237)
Ar	tBuNH	$Ni(CO)_{3}$ (cis)	25	80 (47)
Аr	A٢	$Ag*SO_3CF_3^-$	24	(162, 163)
Aг	Аr	$Au(PEt_3)^+PF_6$	24	(162, 163)
Ar	Ar	Fe(CO)	22	70 (61*) 65 (55*) (56*)
			80	(56)
Ar	Aг	$Ni(CO)_{3}$	22	(56, 61)

TABLE 10. $\eta^1\eta^1$ Diphosphene Complexes

$$
\sum_{M}^{R} P = P \left(\begin{matrix} M & & & R \\ & N & & & P = P \end{matrix}\right)^{R}
$$

to give $\eta^1 \eta^2$ complex 231 and $\eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^1$ diphosphene complex 232⁵³ (Scheme 102).

The complexation of triphosphaferrocene 233 (obtained as a mixture with other phosphaferrocene derivatives) occurs on one phosphorus atom of the "cis-
diphosphene" unit²⁶³ (Scheme 103).

Metalladiphosphenes are always complexed on the phosphorus atom σ -bonded to a metal^{70,71,220,264} (Scheme 104). No example of additional complexation on the second phosphorus atom is known.

A diphosphene unit can also be transferred from a metal to another⁴⁰ (Scheme 105). Two points are noticeable in this reaction: 24 is the only complex of type L, and the transfer induces a trans-cis isomerization of the diphosphene.

Irradiation of η^1 diphosphene complexes also induces

a trans-cis isomerization^{60a,b,159} (Scheme 106), which is analogous to the one observed for free diphosphenes.²⁶⁵ Both cis and trans complexes are stable.

A complicated "dimerizationlike" reaction²⁶⁶ leading first to phosphorus spiranic species 237 is observed by heating $\eta^1 \eta^2$ diphosphene complex 208. Heating further phosphido complex 237, we have obtained the original cluster 238²⁶⁶ in which a metallaphosphoranylidenephosphane unit serves as a building block. Up to now, complex 238 is the only one of type T (Scheme 107).

Thermal activation may also induce a selective decomplexation either of the double bond^{46,267} or of one phosphorus atom²⁴¹ (Scheme 108).

2. Substitution, Addition Reactions

a. Diphosphane Complexes. Few substitution or addition reactions concern the metallic center. Diphosphane complex 229 shown in Scheme 101 is also obtainable by replacement of the η^1 cyclopentadienyl group by iodine²⁶⁸ (Scheme 109).

Carbon monoxide can also be replaced by allyl bromide⁹¹ (Scheme 110). However, the extreme sensitivity of complex 240 prevented its full characterization.

Addition reactions occur with methyl iodide either directly on the metal, with evolution of ligand such as ethylene,¹³⁵ or on a metal-ligand bond.¹⁴⁷ The addition of Me⁺ on rhodium gives a cationic diphosphane complex when trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate is involved (Scheme 111).

However, many reactions concern the diphosphane ligand itself; these are mainly substitution reactions. For instance, base-induced eliminations of proton are observed for secondary diphosphane complexes and polyphosphane complexes are thus synthesized^{157,185,260} (Scheme 112).

Hydrogen atoms of secondary di- or triphosphane complexes are selectively substituted, using first butylor methyllithium and then halocompounds such as methyl iodide²⁴ or chlorodiphenylphosphane¹⁸⁵ (Scheme 113).

Halide-substituted diphosphane complexes react also with butyllithium and are reduced by lithium aluminum hydride¹¹³ (Scheme 114).

Amino groups are suitable leaving groups. They react with compounds of type HX, with $X =$ halide or alkoxy groups.^{227,228,261} The reaction always takes place on the free phosphorus atom of complex 250 and does not concern the complexed phosphorus atoms (Scheme 115).

However, in another example, all amino groups of complexed phosphorus atoms are substituted by bromine^{210,269} (Scheme 116).

Addition reactions on diphosphane complexes are rare and concern only compounds of type B. They obviously occur on the lone pair of the uncomplexed phosphorus atom. This is the case with sulfur and selenium^{134,135,224} (Scheme 117).

Methyl iodide adds also to the uncomplexed phosphorus atom, leading to phosphonium salts.^{135,147} The cationic acetyl complex thus obtained reacts further with $AgPF_6$ to form the dication 253 (Scheme 118).

b. Diphosphene Complexes. Only one reaction concerning the metallic center is known: a ligand exchange occurs when diphosphene complex 254 is treated

TABLE 11. η^2 Diphosphene Complexes

 $R^{1} - P = P - R^{2}$ I **M**

TABLE 12. $\eta^1 \eta^2$ Diphosphene Complexes

M'

with 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane upon heating⁵³ (Scheme 119).

Substitution reactions on phosphorus are **also** very rare: the only one **known** for a diphosphene complex

TABLE 13. $n^1n^2n^1$ Diphosphene Complexes

$P = P$ `R M					
R	M	M	method	yield (ref)	
Me	Cr(CO)	Cr(CO)	82	56 (240)	
Me	Mo(CO)	$Mo(CO)_{5}$	82	12 (240)	
Et	Cr(CO)	Cr(CO)	82	35 (240)	
nBu	Cr(CO)	Cr(CO)	82	40 (240)	
Ph	Cr(CO)	Cr(CO)	65	$(46*)$	
			82	(240)	
Ph	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl^+BF_4^-$	$Pd(Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh_2)$	as 102	(53)	
Ph	W(CO),	$Pd(Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh_2)$	102	$(53*)$	
Ph	W(CO)	W(CO)	94	$20(252*)$ (253)	
			82	$9(240*)$	
An	Cr(CO)	Cr(CO)	82	41 (240)	

 $Ar-P=P-M$

 R ^M M ^M

TABLE 14. Metalladiphosphenes

M		method	yield (ref)
$CrCp*(CO)$		37	61 (183)
$FeCp*(CO)$ ₂		67	59 (69, 70*)
Fe(PPh ₃)Cp(CO)		67	57 (70)
$MnCp*(CO)2$		67	39 (219)
$OsCp*(CO)2$		67	(70)
$ReCp*(CO)(NO)$		67	(220)
$RuCp*(CO)2$		67	67.5 (69, 70)
		M	
	- P==1		
		N'	
M	M'	method	yield (ref)
$FeCp*(CO)$ ₂	$Cr(CO)_{5}$	104 (THF)	57 (220*)
$\text{FeCp*}(CO)_{2}$	$Fe({\rm CO})$	104 ($Fe(CO)_{5}$)	55 (71*)
$FeCp*(CO)2$	$Ni(CO)$,	104 (CO)	31 (264)
$MnCp*(CO)(NO)$	$Cr(CO)_{\kappa}$	104 (THF)	51 (220)
$OsCp*(CO)2$	Fe(CO)	104 ($Fe(CO)_{h}$)	38 (71)
$OsCp*(CO)2$	$Ni(CO)_{3}$	104 (CO)	22 (70) (264)
$ReCp*(CO)(NO)$	Cr(CO)	104 (THF)	31 (220)
$ReCp*(CO)(NO)$	$Ni(CO)_{3}$	104 (CO)	(220)
$RuCp*(CO)2$	$Fe({\rm CO})$	104 ($Fe(CO)_{5}$)	24 (71)
$RuCp*(CO)$,	$Ni(CO)_{3}$	104 (CO)	63 (264)
	$Cp*(CO)_2M$		
		н	
		Ŕ2	
\mathbf{R}^1 \mathbf{R}^2	\mathbf{R}^3 M	method	yield (ref)
Me н н	Fe	126	49 (277)
н Me н	Fe	126	35 (277)
н н	Me Fe	126	12 (277)
н H н	Fe	126	47 (276*, 277*)
H H H	Ru	126	42 (277)

concerns the reaction of trimethylsilyl groups with methanol, owing to the oxophilicity of silicon¹⁸² (Scheme 120).

On the other hand, addition reactions on diphosphene are more usual. They mainly take place on the double bond, which can also be reduced by lithium aluminum hydride.²¹⁸ [1,2] additions are observed with compounds of type HX. Interestingly, the same diphosphane complexes are obtained starting either from $\eta^1 \eta^1$ diphosphene complexes²⁶⁷ or from $\eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^1$ diphosphene complexes²⁴⁰ (Scheme 121). Thus in the later case, the addition also induces a decomplexation of the double bond.

1.3 dienes react also with free²⁶⁷ or complexed²⁴⁰ phosphorus-phosphorus double bonds to give heterocycles (Scheme 122).

Analogous reactions occur with sulfur,^{237,267} diazomethane,²⁶⁷ and phenyl azide,²³⁷ leading to phosphirane complexes 262 (Scheme 123).

Metalladiphosphene react also with diazomethane²⁷⁰ and diphenylsulfonium cyclopropanide²⁷¹ and give metalladiphosphirane (Scheme 124).

The products isolated with sulfur and selenium are also three-membered heterocycles, but the first step of the reaction is an addition on the lone pair of the phosphorus atom linked to the metal^{272,273} (Scheme 125). This reaction is analogous to the one observed
for organic diphosphenes.^{274,275}

 α, β unsaturated compounds also add on the phosphorus lone pair by a $[1 + 4]$ cycloaddition reaction, giving rise to an oxaphosphole complex with an exocyclic P=P double bond^{$276,277$} (Scheme 126).

Methylation reactions on triphosphirene complexes occurs also on a phosphorus lone pair⁷² (Scheme 127).

Finally, we have shown that the anionic hydrides 99 and 177 add on the lone pair of the uncomplexed phosphorus atom of $\eta^1 \eta^2$ diphosphene complexes. The anionic diphosphane complexes 267 thus synthesized are also obtainable starting from diphosphane complexes²⁴⁴ (Scheme 128).

IV. Organization of Tables

Tables 6-16 give listings of $n¹$ diphosphane complexes (Table 6), $\eta^1 \eta^1$ diphosphane complexes (Table 7), metalladiphosphanes (Table 8), η^1 diphosphene complexes (Table 9), $\eta^1 \eta^1$ diphosphene complexes (Table 10), η^2

Phosphane and Phosphene Transition-Metal Complexes

diphosphene complexes (Table 11), $\eta^1 \eta^2$ diphosphene complexes (Table 12), $\eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^1$ diphosphene complexes (Table **13),** metalladiphosphenes (Table **14),** and miscellaneous diphoaphene complexes (Tables **15** and **16).** Polymeric compounds are excluded. The following remarks are pertinent to the tables.

Organization of Listings

Each table is organized with organic substituents **listed** firat, then metallic groups. Organic substituents are filed according to their empirical formula; the Chemical Abstracts classification is used, i.e. for instance, Br, Me, CF_3 , Ph, cHex, Cl, H. The metallic groupa are **filed,** first according to the alphabetical order of the metal, then according to the empirical formula of the **sum** of **all** ligands, excepted the diphosphene or diphosphane unit. Miscellaneous compounds are filed according to the number of phosphorus atoms. Abbreviations mentioned in the introduction of the review are used in the tables. The number of the method given in the tables refers to the number of the corresponding scheme in part 111. **For** general reactions, the leaving ligand L is **specified** in brackets. The term **"as 12"** indicates that the method used is similar to that in Scheme **12.** In the column "yield (ref)", the term **"63 (30*, 31) (53)"** means that **a 63%** yield is given in refs

30 and **31,** whereaa no yield is given in ref **53.** The asterisk indicates that the structure of the compound **has** been determined by X-ray crystallography in ref **30.**

References

- (1) For recent reviews in this field, see: (a) Di Vaira, M.; Stop-
pioni, P.; Peruzzini, M. Polyhedron 1987, 6, 351. (b) Scherer,
O. J. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1987, 6, 1.
(2) Cowley, A. H. Polyhedron 1984, 3, 389.
-
- Cowley, A. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1984, 17, 386.
Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C. *Progress in Inorganic Chemistry*; Lippart, S. J., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986, (4)
-
- (6)
-
- Scherer, O. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 924.
Burg, A. B.; Mahler, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 2334.
Dörken, C. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1888, 21, 1505.
Yoshifuji, M.; Shima, I.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Hig (8)
- (9)
- M. J. *Chem. SOC. A* **1967 1744.**
- Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, *b. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry,* 4th ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, **1980.**
- Bohm, M. C.; Gleiter, **R.; Grobe,** J.; Le Van, D. J. *Orgummet. Chem.* **1983,247,203.**
- **Grobe,** J.; Le Van, D. *2. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1984,518, 36.** Rehder, D.; Bechthold, H. C. **2.** *Naturforsch.* **B.:** *Anorg. Chem. Org. Chem.* **1984,396 323.**
-
-
-
- Rehder, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 137, C25.
Sheldrick, W. S. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1976, B32, 308.
Cowley, A. H. Chem. Rev. 1965, 65, 617.
Appel, R.; Casser, C.; Knoch, F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985,
297, 21.
- **Elmes,** P. S.; Gatehouse, B. M.; West, B. 0. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1974,82,235.** Wong, **E.** H.; **Ravenelle,** R M.; Gabe, E. J.; **Lee,** F. L.; Praaad,
- Wong, E. H.; Raveneue, R. M.; Gabe, E. J.; Lee, F. L.; Frasad,
L. J. *Organomet. Chem.* 1982, 233, 321.
Gilje, J. W.; Sheldrick, W. D.; Weferling, N.; Schmutzler, R.
- *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 379.*
Crumbliss, A. L.; Topping, R. J.; Szewczyk, J.; McPhail, A.
- T.; Quin, **L.** D. J. *&em.* SOC., *Dalton%ans.* **1986, 1895.** Kaiser, J: Hartung, M.; Le *'es,* E.; Richter, R; Sieler, J. *2. Anorg A. H. D. D. U. Chem. Soc., Du*
 Ahorg. A. Hartung, M.; Lengies, E.;
 Anorg. A. Q. H. Chem. A. 1976, 422, 149.
-
- Lang, H.; Huttner, G.; Jibril, I. Z. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg.
Chem. Org. Chem. 1986, 41b, 473.
Grobe, J.; Le Van, S. Z. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. Org.
Chem. 1980, 35b, 694.
- (26)
- Chem. 1980, 350, 694.
Chem. 1985, 280, 331.
Chem. 1985, 280, 331.
Fritz, G.; Uhlmann, R.; Hoppe, K. D.; Hoenle, W.; Von
Schnering, H. G. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1982, 491, 83.
Wong, E. H.; Bradley, F. C.; Gabe, E. J. J. Orga
-
- **1983,244, 235.** Light, **R.** W.; Paine, R T. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978,100,2230. El", P. S.;** Leverett, P.; West, B. 0. J. *Chem. Soc., Chem.* (30)
- *Cammun.* -. -. **1971.747.** ----.
- (31) Köpf, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1971, 10, 840.

(32) Rheingold, A. L.; Fountain, M. A. *Organometallics* 1984, 3,
- *l.)lI.*
- 1411.

13Jones, R. A.; Seeberger, M. H.; Whittlesey, B. R. J. *Am.*
 Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 6424.

(34) **Köpf, H.; Voigtländer, R. Chem. Ber. 1981**, 114, 2731. (33) Jones, R. A.; Seeberger, M. H.; Whittlesey, B. R. J. Am.
Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6424.
(34) Köpf, H.; Voigtländer, R. Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 2731.
(35) Nixon, J. F.; Pidcock, A. *Annual Review of NMR Spectros-*
copy: Moo
-
- *copy;* Mooney, E., **Ed.;** Academic Press: London, **1969,** Vol.
- 2, 346.

(36) Carty, A. J.; Mc Laughlin, S. A.; Nucciarone, D. *Phospho-*
 rus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis; VCH
-
- Publishers, Inc.: New York, 1987; Chapter 16.
(37) Hey, E.; Bott, S. G.; Atwood, J. L. Chem. Ber. 1988, 121, 561.
(38) Vahrenkamp, H.; Wolters, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.
1983, 22, 154.
- **(39) De, L. R.; Wolters, D.; Vahrenkamp, H. Z. Naturforsch. B.:
** *Anorg. Chem. Org. Chem.* **1986**, 41b, 283.
- (40) Fenske, D.; Merzweiler, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.
1986, 25, 338.
(41) Nicholls, J. N.; Raithby, P. R.; Vargas, M. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1617.
(42) De, R. L.; Vahrenkamp, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
-
-
-
-
- (44) Einem. 1976, 122, 281.

Chem. 1976, 122, 281.

(45) Flynn, K. M.; Hope, A.; Murray, B. D.; Olmstead, M. M.;

Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7750.

(46) Borm, J.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G. Angew. Chem., Int.
- *Engl.* **1983,22,977.**
- Niecke, E.; Kramer, B.; Nieger, M. *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1989,28,215.** Kitaura, K.; *Sakaki,* S.; Morokuma, K. *Znorg. Chem.* **1981,20,**
-
- 2292.
Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Matsushita, T.;
Nishimoto, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, *105*, 2495.
Lee, J. G.; Cowley, A. H.; Boggs, J. E*. Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1983,
77, L61. (49)
-
- Gbo, V. *Chem. Phys.* **1984,83,407.**
- Ha, T. K.; Nguyan, M. T.; Ruelle, P. *Chem. Phys.* **1984,87, 23.**
- zw.
Chatt, J.; Hitchock, P. B.; Pidcock, A.; Warrens, C. P.; Dixon,
K. R. J. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1984, 2237.
Chatt, J.; Hitchock, P. B.; Pidcock, A.; Warrens, C. P.; Dixon, (53)
-
- Chau, 9., Hukhoka, P. B.; Flaoxia, A.; Warens, C. P., Dixon,
K. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 932.
Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.;
Pakulski, M.; Ando, F.; Wright, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. (55)
- *(56)* Cowley, A. H: Kilduff, J.. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Mehrotra, S. K.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Stewart, C. A. *Phosphorus*
Sulfur 1983, 18, 3.
Flynn, K. M.; Murray, B. D.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P.
-
- *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1983, 105,7460.** Flynn, K. M.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1983 105,2085.**
- Lang, H.; brama, *0.;* Huttner, J. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1985,** (59)
- Lang, 1.;
291, 293.
(a) Yoshifuji, M.; Hashida, T.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.;
(a) Yoshifuji, M.; Hashida, T.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.;
Horiuchi, T.; Higuchi, T.; Ito, K.; Nagase, S. Angew. Chem.,
Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, (60)
- **(61)** Inamoto, N. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1986, 311, C63.
Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.;
Pakulski, M.; Ando, F.; Wright, T. C. *Organometallics* 1984, **3,1044.**
- Bartlett, R. A.; Dias, H. V. R.; Flynn, K. M.; Hope, H.;
Murray, B. D.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. *J. Am. Chem.*
Soc. **1987**, 109, 5693.
-
- Huttner, G.; Evertz, K. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1986, *19*, 406.
Bartlett, R. A.; Dias, H. V. R.; Flynn, K. M.; Olmstead, M.
M.; Power, P. P. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, *109, 5699.*
Bertrand, G.; Couret, C.; Escudié, J.; Majid, S (65)
- (66)
- Niecke, E.; Rtlger, **R.** *Angew. Chem. Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1983,22, 155.** (67)
- Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Mehrotra, S. K.; Norman, N. C.; Pakuleki, M.; Whittlesey, B. **R.;** Atwood, J. (68)
- L.; Hunter, W. E. *Znorg. Chem.* **1984,23,2582.** Schugart, K. A.; Fenske, **R.** F. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1985,107, 3384.** Weber, L.; Reizig, K. *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1986,24,** (69)
- (70)
- *86.5.* **Weber, L.;** Reizig, K.; Bungardt, D.; Boeee, **R.** *Organo- metallics* **1987,6, 110.** (71)
- Weber, L.; Reizig, K.; Bungardt, D.; Boese, *R. Chem. Ber.*
-
-
- 1987, 120, 1421.
Capozzi, G.; Chiti, L.; Di Vaira, M.; Peruzzini, M.; Stoppioni,
P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1799.
Bartsch, R.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Nixon, J. F. J. Chem. Soc.,
Chem. Commun. 1987, 1146.
Olmstead, M. (74) 1495.
Ang, H. G.; West, B. O. Aust. J. Chem. 1967, 20, 1133.
Fowles, G. W. A.; Jenkins, D. K. Chem. Commun. 1965, 61.
Hieber, W.; Kummer, R. Chem. Ber. 1967, 100, 148.
Hieber, W.; Opavsky, W. Chem. Ber. 1968, 101, 2966.
Fi
-
- (76)
- (77)
- (78)
- (79)
- (80) (81)
- *B* **1979**, *B35*, **181.**
Beysel, G.; Grobe, J.; Mohr, W. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1979**, *170*, 319. (82)
- Phillips, I. G.; Ball, **R.** G.; Cavell, **R.** G. *Znorg. Chem.* **1988, 27, 2269.** (83)
- (84) Groesbruchhaus, V.; Rehder, D. *Znorg. Chim. Acta* **1988,141, 9.**
-
- Jarvis, J. A. J.; Mais, R. H. B.; Owston, P. G.; Thompson, D.
T. J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 622.
Bamford, C. H.; Maltman, W. R. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1966,
62, 2823.
- (87)
- Hayter, **R.** G.; Williams, L. F. J. *Znorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* **1964,26, 1977.**
- Nassimbeni, L. R. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* 1971, 7, 187.
Chatt, J.; Thornton, D. A. J. Chem. Soc. A 1964, 1005.
Chatt, J.; Thompson, T. J. Chem. Soc. A 1964, 2713.
Hayter, R. G. *Inorg. Chem.* 1964, 3, 711.
Brockhaus, M. (89)
- (90)
- (91)
- (92)
-
- **(93)** 1**972,** 105, 3716.
Bartish, C. M.; Kraihanzel, C. S. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1976,**
112, C31.
- Flood. T. C.: Di Santi. F. J.: Cam~bell. -. K. D. *Znorg. Chem.* **1978,17, la3.**
- Bitterwolf, T. E. *Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **1983, 49, 368** *(Chem. Abstr.* **1984, 101, 7357j).** Baumgarten, H.; Johannsen, H.; Rehder, D. *Chem.* Ber. **1979, 112. 2650.** (95)
- (96) (97)
- Bechthold, H. C.; Rehder, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 206, (98)
- Staudacher, L.; Vahrenkamp, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1976,109,218.** Ha **I, R.** G.; Williams, L. F. *Znorg. Chem.* **1964, 3, 717.** (99)
- (100) Is&, K.; Rettkowski, *W. 2. Natur orsch.* **1966,21b,** 999.
- **1980.465.72.** Hoenle, W.; Von Schnering, H. G. *k* . *Anorg. Allg. Chem.* (101)
-
- Baudier, M.; Salzer, F.; Hann, J.; 1
Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 415.
Roesky, H. W.; Amirzadeh-Asl, D. Z
Chem. Org. Chem. 1983, 38b, 460.
- Weferling, N.; Schmutzler, R. *A.C.S. Symp. Ser.* **1981**, *171*, **⁴²⁵***(Chem. Abstr.* **1982,96, 104378~).** Charrier, C.; Guilhem, J.; Mathey, F. J. *Org. Chem.* **1981,46,**
- **3.**
- Turnbull, M. M.; Wong, E. H.; Gabe, E. J.; Lee, F. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, 25, 3189.
Eaudler, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 492.
- Tebbe, K. F.; Feher, M. Z. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. *Org. Chem. Org. Chem. 0.1884. 39b.* 37.
- Tebbe, K. F. *Acta Crystallogr., Sec. C: Cryst. Struct. Com-*
- *mun.* **1984, C40,1552.** Ellermann, J.; Demuth, A. A. M. *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1984, 23, 805.**
- Ellermann. J.: Demuth. A. A. M. *Monatsch. Chem.* **1987.118.** ,,
- 183 (Chem. Abstr. 1988, 108, 75546q).
Zimmermann, H.; Gomm, M.; Köck, E.; Ellermann, J. *Acta
Crystallogr., Sect. C* 1988, C44, 48.
Hinke, A. M.; Hinke, A.; Kuchen, W. J. Organomet. Chem.
- **1983,** *258,* **307.**
- Huttner, G.; Friedrich, P.; Willenberg, H.; Mueller, H. P.
- *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1977,16,260.** Grobe, J.; Le Van, D. *2. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. Org. Chem.* **1979,34b, 1653.**
- Baudler M.; Slazer, F.; Hahn, J. *2. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. brg. Chem.* **1982,376, 1529.**
- Bernal, **1.;** Korp J. D.; Calderazzo, F.; Poli, **R.;** Vitali, D. J.
- *Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1984,1945.** Charrier, C.; Bonnard, H.; Mathey, F. J. *Org. Chem.* **1982,47, 2376.**
- Mathe , F.; Mercier, F.; Nief, F.; Fischer, J.; Mitachler, A. J. *Am. den. SOC.* **1982,104,2077. Roesky,** H. W.; Zamankhan, H.; Sheldrick, W. S.; Cowley, A.
- H: Mehrotra, S. K. *Znorg. Chem.* **1981,20, 2910.**
- Sellmann, D*. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1973**, *12,* 1020.
Baudler, M.; Bock, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1974**, *13,* 147.
-
- Atwood, J. L.; Newell, J. K.; Hunter, W. E.; Bernal, J.; Cal-
derazzo, F.; Mavani, I. P.; Vitali, D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem.
Commun. 1976, 441.
Atwood, J. L.; Newell, J. K.; Hunter, W. E.; Bernal, J.; Cal-
derazzo, F.; Mavani
- *Trans.* **1978.1189.** *-I* ---- ~ ~. -.
-
- Weber D.; Fluck, E. *Znor* . *Nucl. Chem. Lett.* **1976,12,515. Roesk;,** H. W.; Djarrah, If; Noltemeyer, M: Sheldrick, G. M. *2. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. Org. khem.* **1982, 37b, 1580.**
-
- Weber, D.; Fluck, E.; Von Schnering, H. G.; Peters, K. Z.
Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. Org. Chem. 1982, 37b, 594.
Korp, J. D.; Bernal, I.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E.; Cal-
derazzo, F.; Vitali, D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Co
-
- (129) Calderazzo, F.; Poli, R.; Vitali, D.; Korp, J. D.; Bernal, I.; Pelizzi, G.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* 1983, 113, 761.
1983, 113, 761.
(130) Rossky, H. W.; Djarrah, H.; Thomas, M.; Krebs, B.; H
- Granifo, J.; Vargas, M. E.; Costamagna, J.; François, M. A.
- *Polyhedron 1988, 7, 489.
Driess, M.; Pritzkow, H.; Siebert, W. <i>Chem. Ber.* **1989,** *122,*
467.
-
- Werner, H.; Klinge
-
-
- Cundy, C. S.; Green, M.; Stone, F. G. A.; Taunton-Rigby, A.
J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 1776.
Werner, H.; Klingert, B.; Zolk, R.; Thometzek, P. J. Orga-
Werner, H.; Klingert, B.; Zolk, R.; Thometzek, P. J. Orga-
nomet. Chem. 198
- Barlow, C. G.; Ebeworth, E. A. V.; Holywell, G. C. *Angew. Chem. knt. Ed. Engl.* **1969,8, 372.** Trenkie, A.: Vahrenkamp, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1981, 114, 1343.**
-

Phosphane and Phosphene Transition-Metal Complexes Chemical Reviews, 1991, Vol. 91, No. 4 611 Chemical Reviews, 1991, Vol. 91, No. 4 **611**

- Sheldrick, W. **5.;** Roesky, H. W.; Amirzadeh-Ad, D. *Phoshow Sul ur* **1983,14 161.**
- guhn, N.; dinter, M. *d. Organomet. Chem.* **1983,264, C15.** Roesky, H. W.; Amirzadeh-Asl, D.; Clegg, W.; Noltemeyer,
M.; Sheldrick, G. M. J. C*hem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1983, 855.
Roesky, H. W.; Lucas, J. *Inorg. Synth.* 1986, 24, 122.
-
- Fjare, K. L.; **Ellis,** J. E. *Organometallics* **1682,1,1373.** Behrene, H.; Topf, W.; Ellermann, J. J. *Organomet. Chem.*
- (147)
- **1973,63,349.** Wemer H.; Klingert, B. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1981,218,395.** Langenbach, H. J.; Wttiiger, E.; Vahrenkamp, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1980.113.42.** $\langle 148 \rangle$
- hleib, K:;Gi&er, U. **2.** *Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1970, 379, 9.**
-
-
- Issleib, K.; Schwager, G. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1961, 310, 43.
Seel, F.; Heyer, H. W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1979, 456, 217.
Hoge, R.; Lehnert, R.; Fischer, K. F. Cryst. Struct. Commun. **19f7,6, 359.** - H. **2.** *Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1970,372,150.**
-
- Hartung, H. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 1970, 372, 150.
Issleib, K.; Schwager, G. Z. *Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 1961, 311, 83.
- Issleib, K.; Hoffmann, M. *Chem. Ber.* 1966, 99, 1320.
Issleib, K.; Giesder, H.; Hartung, H. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*
1972, 390, 239 and references therein.
-
- Sheldrick, W. S.; Morton, S.; Stelzer, O. Z. *Anorg. Allg.* Chem. **1981**, 475, 232.
Chem. **1981**, 475, 232.
Ellermann, J.; Hagen, K.; Krauss, H. L. Z. *Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1982**, 487, 130.
- (159) Yoshifuji, M.; Hashida, T.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.
- **(160) (161)** *Chem. Lett.* **1985**, 287.
 Chem. Lett. 1985, 287.
 *Yoshifuji, M.; Inamoto, N. 7 etrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4855.

Yoshifuji, M.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Higuchi, T. J. <i>Chem.*
 Soc., *Chem. Commun.* **1985**, 110
- *Soc., C em. Commun.* **1986,1109.** Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1984, 1054.** (162)
-
- Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J.
Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 833.
Ang, H. G.; Shannon, J. S.; West, B. O. Chem. Commun.
1965, 10.
-
- 1968, 10.
Bush, M. A.; Woodward, P. *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1968, 1221.
Bush, M. A.; Cook, V. R.; Woodward, P. *Chem. Commun.* (166)
- **1967,630.** Forster, A.; Cundy, C. S.; Green, M.; Stone, F. G. A. *Znorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* **1966, 2, 233.**
- Cowley, A. H.; Pinnell, R. P. *Znor* . *Chem.* **1966,** *5,* **1459.**
-
- Cowley, A. H.; Hill, K. E. *Inorg. Chem.* 1973, 12, 1446.
King, R. B.; Riemann, R. H. *Inorg. Chem.* 1973, 12, 1446.
King, R. B.; Davis, R. E.; Hassett, K. L.; McKennis, J. S.;
Sheikh, B. Phosphorus Sulfur 1983, 18, 275.
K
-
-
-
- Arif, A. M.; Cowley, A. H.; Pakulski, M.; Thomas, G. J. *Polyhedron* **1986,5, 1651.** (175)
- Cooke, **M.;** Green, M.; Kirkpatrick, D. J. *Chem. Soc. ^A***1968, 1507.** (176)
- Westermann, H.; Nieger, M.; Niecke, E.; Majoral, J.-P.;
Caminade, A.-M.; Mathieu, R.; Irmer, E. *Organometallics*
1989 *C. 24* (177)
- 1989, 8, 244.

(178) Schäfer, H.; Binder, D.; Fenske, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*
-
-
-
-
-
- Schäfer, H.; Binder, D.; Fenske, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
Engl. 1985, 24, 522.
Schäfer, H.; Binder, D. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1987, 546, 55.
Schäfer, H.; Binder, D.; Deppisch, D.; Mattern, G. Z. Anorg.
Allg. Chem. 1987, 54
- gke, kiMorton, S.; Johannsen, G.; Weferling, N.; Stelzer, *0. Chem. Ber.* **1980,113, 1328.**
- Collman, J. P.; Komoto, R. G.; Siegl, W. O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, 95, 2389. *1973*, 95, 2389. (186)
-
- (188)
- Regragui, R.; Dixneuf, P. H. *New J. Chem.* 1988, *12*, 547.
Appel, R.; Schulm, W. J. *Organomet. Chem.* 1987, 329, 179.
Holand, S.; Mathey, F.; Fischer, J. *Polyhedron* 1986, 5, 1413.
De, R. L.; Vahrenkamp, H. Z. Naturfor (189) (190)
- (191)
-
- Seyferth, D.; Wood, T. G.; Henderson, R. S. J. Organomet.
Chem. 1987, 336, 163.
Yu, Y. F.; Chau, C. N.; Wojcicki, A.; Calligaris, M.; Nardin, Yu, Y. F.; Chau, C. N.; Wojcicki, A.; Calligaris, M.; Nardin, G.; Balducci, G. J (192)
- (193)
-
- (195)
- (196). Malisch, W.; Kuhn, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1974, 73, C1.
Jörg, K.; Malisch, W.; Reich, W.; Meyer, A.; Schubert, U.
Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 92. (197)
-
-
- (198) Vahrenkamp, H. Chem. Ber. 1972, 105, 3574.
(199) Schumann, H.; Von Deuster, G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1972, 40, C27.
- King, R. B.; Wu, F. J.; Holt, E. M. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1988, 110,2775.**
-
- Stelzer, O.; Unger, E.; Wray, V. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110, 3430.
Stelzer, O.; Unger, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 85, C33.
Stelzer, O.; Weferling, N. Z. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem.
Org. Chem. 1980, 35b, 74.
Sheldrick, W. S.
-
-
-
- Hietkamp, S.; Stelzer, O.; Engelhardt, M.; Haegele, G. Z.
Anorg. Alig. Chem. 1981, 475, 131.
Borm, J.; Knoll, K.; Zsolnai, L.; Huttner, G. Z. Naturforsch.
B.: Anorg. Chem. Org. Chem. 1986, 41b, 532.
-
- **Marinetti,** A.; Mathey, F. *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1988, 27, 1382.**
- Hinke, A.; Kuchen, W.; Kutter, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*
-
- Engl. 1981, 20, 1060.
Hinke, A.; Kuchen, W. Z. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem.
Org. Chem. 1982, 37b, 1543.
Fritz, H. P.; Schöttle, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 265, 175.
Huttner, G.; Müller, H. D.; Bejenke, V.; Orama, O. Z.
-
- Huttner, G.; Müller, H. D.; Frank, A.; Lorenz, H. Angew.
Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 572.
Huttner, G.; Müller, H. D.; Frank, A.; Lorenz, H. Angew.
Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 705.
Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 70
-
-
- Hinke, A. M.; Hinke, A.; Kuchen, W.; Hbnle, W. **2.** *Natur-*
-
-
- (218) Hinke, A. M.; Hinke, A.; Kuchen, W.; Hönle, W. Z. Natur-
forsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. Org. Chem. 1986, 41b, 629.
(219) Weber, L.; Meine, G. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 457.
(220) Weber, L.; Meine, G.; Boese, R.; Bläser, D. Ch
- Weber, L.; Bungardt, D.; Sonnenberg, U.; Boese, R. *Angew.
<i>Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1988, 27, 1537.
Weber, L.; Bungardt, D._; Reizig, K.; Boese, R.; Benn, R.
-
- *Chem. Ber.* **198f 120,451.** Green, M.; Taunton-Rigby, A.; Stone, F. G. A. J. *Chem. SOC. A* **1969, 1875.**
- Trenkle, A.; Vahrenkamp, H. *J. Chem. Res. (S)* **1977**, 97. Dubois, D. A.; Duesler, E. N.; Paine, R. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985**, 24. 3. 224) (225)
- King, R. B.; Wu, F. J.; Holt, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1987, 109, 7764.**
- Kin , **R.** B.; Wu, F. J.; Holt, E. M. *Znorg. Chem.* **1986,** *25,* **¹⁷³⁸**
- (228) King, R. B.; Wu, F. J.; Holt, E. M. *Phosphorus Sulfur* 1987, 30, 169.
- 30, 103.
King, R. B.; Wu, F. J.; Holt, E. M. J. Organomet. Chem.
1986, 314, C27.
- (230) Aresta, M.; de Fazio, M.; Bruno, P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, 21,
- **(231)** Galindo, A.; Mathieu, R.; Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P.
 Organometallics 1988, 7, 2198.

(232) Ceminade, A.-M.: Mejoral, J.-P.: Mathieu, B.: Young Lam
- **(232)** Chinade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P.; Mathieu, **R.;** Yeung Lam **(233)** Gudat, D.; Niecke, E.: Krebs, B.: Dartmann. M. **Orpano-**KO, Y. Y. C. J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1987,639.**
- Gudat, D.; Niecke, E.; Krebs, B.; Dartmann, M. *Orga*:
metallics **1986**, 5, 2376. ...
- **(234)** Jones. P. G.: Roeekv. H. W.: Grrltzmacher. **H.:** Sheldrick, G. **985,40b,** 590
- **(236)** Andersen, **L.;** Hulten, F. *Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. A* **1986, A40, 701.**
- **(236)** Mercier, **F:** Mathe F. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1984,263,55. (237)** Borm, J.; duttner, *8.;* **Orama,** *0. J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986, Borm**, J.; H
306, 29.
Borm, J.: E.
- **.QM**
- (238) Borm, J.; Huttner, G.; Zeolnai, L.; Evertz, K.; Berke, H. J.
Organomet. Chem. 1987, 327, 223.
(239) Bartlett, R. A.; Dias, H. V. R.; Power, P. P. J. Organomet.
- Chem. 1989, 362, 87.

(240) Huttner, G.; Borm, J.; Zsolnai, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986,

304, 309.
- Feneke, D.; Merzweiler, K. *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1984,23 635.**
- De pisch, *B.;* Schiifer, H. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **1982,** *BJ,* **⁷⁴⁸**
- Schifer, H. **2.** *Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. Org. Chem.* **1979.346. 1358.**
- **(244)** Caminade, **A.LM.;** M 'oral, J.-P.; Sanchez, M.; Mathieu, R.; Attali, S.; Grand, *A.%rganometallics* **1987, 6, 1459. (245)** Majoral, J.-P.; Mathieu, **R.;** Caminade, A+-M.; Attali, S.;
-
- Sanchez, M. *Phosphorus Sulfur* **1987**, 30, 443. (246) **Mathieu, R.; Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P.; Attali, S.;
2006 Sanchez, M.** *Organometallics* **1986**, 5, 1914.
- **(247)** Galindo del Pozo, A,; Caminade, A.-d.; Dahan, F.; Majoral, J.-P.; Mathieu. **R.** J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988,574.**
- (248) Hey, E.; Engelhardt, L. M.; Raston, C. L.; White, A. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 81.
-
- (249) Driess, M.; Hu, D.; Pritzkow, H.; Schaufele, H.; Zenneck, U.; Regitz, M.; Rosch, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 334, C35.
(250) Milczarek, R.; Rüsseler, W.; Binger, P.; Jonas, K.; Angermund, K.; Krüger, C.; Regitz, M.
- (251) Borm, J.; Huttner, G.; Zsolnai, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
Engl. 1985, 24, 1069.
(252) Marinetti, A.; Charrier, C.; Mathey, F.; Fischer, J. Organo-
- Noting the U.S., Charnet, C.; Mathey, F.; Fischer, J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2134.
(253) Tran Huy, N. H.; Fischer, J.; Mathey, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1987, 109, 3475.
-
- (254) Tran Huy, N. H.; Mathey, F. Organometallics 1987, 6, 207.
(255) Tran Huy, N. H.; Ricard, L.; Mathey, F. Organometallics 1988, 7, 1791.
- (256) Le Floch, P.; Tran Huy, N. H.; Mathey, F. Organometallics 1988, 7, 1293.
-
-
-
-
- 1986, 7, 1293.

(257) Mathey, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 275.

(258) Grobe, J.; Le Van, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 1982, 19, 279.

(259) Grobe, J.; Le Van, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 1984, 24, 25.

(260) Beurich, H.; Va $1241.$
- (262) Stelzer, O.; Morton, S.; Sheldrick, W. S. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1981, B37, 439.
-
- (263) Bartsch, R.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Nixon, J. F. J. Organomet.

Chem. 1988, 340, C37.

(264) Weber, L.; Meine, G. Z. Naturforsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. Org.

Chem. 1987, 42b, 774.

(265) Caminade, A.-M.; Verrier, M.; Adès, C.
-
- (267) Borm, J.; Huttner, G.; Orama, O.; Zsolnai, L. J. Organomet.
-
- Chem. 1985, 282, 53.

Chem. 1985, 282, 53.

(288) Kuhn, N.; Winter, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 269, C47.

(289) Diemert, K.; Kuchen, W.; Kutter, J. J. Organomet. Chem.

1982, 238, 113.
- (270) Weber, L.; Lücke, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 327, C63.
-
- (271) Weber, L.; Lücke, E.; Boese, R. Organometallics 1988, 7, 978.
(272) Weber, L.; Meine, G.; Niederprüm, N.; Boese, R. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1989.
- (273) Weber, L.; Meine, G.; Boese, R.; Niederprüm, N. Z. Natur-
forsch. B.: Anorg. Chem. Org. Chem. 1988, 43b, 715.
(274) Yoshifuji, M.; Shibayama, K.; Inamoto, N.; Hirotsu, K.; Hi-
guchi, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1
-
- 22, 681.
- (276) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 1010.
(277) Weber, L.; Frebel, M.; Boese, R. Organometallics 1989, 8,
- 1718.